

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_127570
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Screening, Chromatographic Profiling and Biological Activities of Ethanol Extract of <i>Raphia australis</i>
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The present study dose not described about the current need of the research work. What is the main aim of the present work with focused objectives not mentioned	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Can be mention the biological activity in specific	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract need to make more clear for better understanding. The details of the correction comment given in the article as review comments . The abstract should have sufficient content to understand the entire work	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The entire article need more revision , comments given in the article	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Not up to the mark	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1.The entire article need to revise (spelling , grammer) , need more scientific correction. 2. Abstract - should revise for better understanding 3.Introduction - need to change according to the work , it mentioned only about the plant alone . 4. Need to change the methodology as per comments 5. Discussion need to site with recent references 6. conclusion - need to revise and precise the entire work and its application.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	A.Nirmala
Department, University & Country	Aarupadai Veedu Institute of Technology, India