

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_121550
Title of the Manuscript:	AN EXTEND INVERSE LINDLEY DISTRIBUTION; MODEL, PROPERTIES AND APPLICATIONS.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1) The distribution is new 2) The mathematical analysis is reasonable 3) It is required to add examples with real data 4) The results of the distribution should be compared with other distributions to show the superiority of the distribution, such as the Lindley and inverse Lindley distributions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Section numbering must be reviewed.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1) The distribution is new 2) The mathematical analysis is reasonable 3) The distribution can be applied to a later censored data study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	the references sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments	Language level is good	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It would have been better to write the manuscript in a newer version of Microsoft Word, especially the equations.	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yasser Mohamed Amer
Department, University & Country	Cairo Higher Institutes in Mokattam, Cairo