

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_127887
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Report of a Patient with Burkholderia cepacia Urinary Tract Infection with Prostatitis and Prostatic Micro abscess.
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Incidence of post-instrumentation Prostatitis is not uncommon , and happened frequently especially in old patients with already enlarged prostate	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Better to divide abstract into sections, like background, aim, methods, results and conclusion. The addition of aim of reporting this case, as a rare cause of bacterial prostatitis and carry serious morbidity to patient and the impact of such study of community and health care.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It's well structured study, but there no referring to the references in body of article particularly the introduction and discussion. More comparison articles are needed to be mentioned in discussion that studied post-instrumentation infection and type of microorganism cultured and treatment line to support the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are few but up to date and need proper re-writing explains the year and chapter regarding the textbooks.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Well structured article but need minimal re-writing of abstract(sections), introduction and discussion(references number in each paragraph).	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Mahmood Hussein
Department, University & Country	University of Kirkuk- College of Medicine, Iraq