

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_127875
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment and Planning Green Open Spaces of Kisaran Timur for Sustainable Urban Development
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study examines the provision of Green Open Space (GOS) in the Kisaran Timur Subdistrict, highlighting its shortfall compared to national standards	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is informative but dense, with many details packed into a short space. While the figures (e.g., hectares of GOS, population projections) are critical, the abstract might benefit from summarizing some numerical data or moving specifics into the findings section of the main study.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	SOMEHOW	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I strongly recommend that the author(s) should review and cite these published manuscripts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Agboola, O. P., Rasidi, M. H., Said, I., Abogan, S. O., & Adejuwon, A. S. (2018). Morphological and GIS-based land use Analysis: A Critical Exploration of a Rural Neighborhood. <i>Journal of Contemporary Urban Affairs</i>, 2(2), 106-121. o Dyachia, Z. S., Permana, A. S., Ho, C. S., Baba, A. N., & Agboola, O. P. (2017). Implications of present land use plan on urban growth and environmental sustainability in a Sub-Saharan Africa City. <i>International Journal of Built Environment and Sustainability</i>, 4(2). These manuscripts will provide good guidance on the style of presentation and offer potential insights related to the spatial analysis, and planning of Green Open Spaces for Sustainable Urban Development.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Manuscript's English quality is to be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>General comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The study through the title and the abstract could not inform the readers where 'Kisaran Timur Subdistrict' is located. This is a major oversight in the study. • Clarify these case studies (i)Mutiara Village; and (ii) Kisaran Timur Subdistrict. The two are misleading. Is the study focussed on the issue of inadequate GOS in Kisaran Timur or Mutiara Village? • The author(s) should learn how to write an academic manuscript for journals. The style is quite different from writing a thesis or prose. This is discovered from the style of numbering and the use of bullets throughout the manuscript. For instance, the research methodology section has the following: 2.1 Research Type; 2.2 Study Area; 2.3 Data Types and Sources; 2.4 Data Collection Methods; 2.5 Data Analysis etc. All these fall within the same heading and thus should be merged under one. • I guess the manuscript is extracted from a thesis write-up; in which the author(s) failed to revise the sequence of numbering. • The author should refrain from inserting unnecessary headings and sub-headings; and not use a bullet style of presentation • I am worried about the highlighted words and phrases within the manuscript. For instance; there are some words highlighted in Section 3.4 Analysis of Public GOS Needs Based on Area; Section 3.5 Analysis of Public GOS Needs Based on Population; Section 3.6 Analysis of Potential Locations for GOS Development; Section 3.7 Recommendations for Village-Level GOS Planning; section 4.8 Discussion; and section IV. Conclusion and Recommendations. Please revise by desisting from this style of presentation. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Oluwagbemiga Paul Agboola</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Istanbul Gelisim University, Turkey</p>