

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_127875
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment and Planning Green Open Spaces of Kisaran Timur for Sustainable Urban Development
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Abstract Clarity:</b> The abstract provides a solid overview of the study. However, consider explicitly stating the main findings and their implications for sustainable urban development in a concise sentence.</li> <li>2. <b>Introduction Depth:</b> The introduction is well-structured, but it would benefit from a clearer articulation of the study's novelty compared to existing research in the field. Explicitly stating the gap addressed by this research would strengthen its positioning.</li> <li>3. <b>Methodology Transparency:</b> The methodology section is detailed, but including a brief discussion of the limitations of the chosen methods (e.g., spatial analysis tools or survey techniques) would enhance the study's credibility and transparency.</li> <li>4. <b>Figures and Tables:</b> The visuals are informative, but some figures (e.g., maps of green spaces) lack clear legends or scale bars. Ensure all figures are self-explanatory and aligned with journal formatting standards.</li> <li>5. <b>Results and Discussion Integration:</b> While the results are well-presented, the discussion could better integrate with broader literature to emphasize the study's relevance. Consider comparing findings with similar urban studies to highlight unique contributions.</li> <li>6. <b>Language and Grammar:</b> The manuscript is generally well-written, but a few sentences could be refined for readability. For instance, avoid overly complex sentence structures and passive voice where possible.</li> <li>7. <b>Policy Implications:</b> The conclusion section does a good job summarizing the study, but it could further elaborate on actionable policy recommendations for urban planners and stakeholders.</li> </ol> <hr/> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> This manuscript provides a valuable assessment of green open spaces in Kisaran Timur and makes significant contributions to sustainable urban development discussions. With minor revisions addressing the comments above, I recommend this paper for acceptance in its current journal. It is timely and relevant for urban planning and sustainability discourse.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kavita Dehalwar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, India</b>