

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_127608
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF PRUDENT ANTIBIOTIC USAGE CAMPAIGNS: ENHANCING HEALTHCARE QUALITY AND REDUCING COSTS
Type of the Article	Research Article

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research paper addresses a critical issue in global healthcare—antibiotic resistance and its economic implications. By focusing on a campaign to improve the prudent use of antibiotics in surgical cases at RSND Semarang, the study offers valuable insights into the effectiveness of such interventions in a hospital setting. The quasi-experimental design, combined with pre-test and post-test evaluations, lends credibility to the results.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	While the abstract outlines the study's objectives and conclusions, it lacks detailed quantitative results. Including specific statistics (e.g., percentage improvements or cost savings) would strengthen its impact.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The paper effectively categorizes antibiotic use and quality, providing detailed breakdowns in Tables 1, 2, and 3.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Although the introduction references several studies, the discussion could benefit from a more detailed comparison with similar campaigns in other countries. This would contextualize the findings within a broader global framework.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor grammatical inconsistencies and typographical errors should be corrected. For example, "Smart" and "Not smart" in Table 2 could be replaced with terms like "Appropriate" and "Inappropriate" for professional clarity.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper does not adequately address limitations such as sample size constraints or potential biases in data collection (e.g., reliance on medical records).	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mihir Otia</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Gujarat Technological University, India</b>