

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127342
Title of the Manuscript:	An evaluation of the systemic impact and clinical efficacy of sequential vincristine sulfate versus doxorubicin in the post-operative management of Canine Mammary Tumours (CMT)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The evaluation of drugs or therapies against mammary cancer in small mammals is of great importance, and requires periodic approaches, therefore, the topic is interesting and of value for global scientific knowledge, its publication is recommended after the authors make the corrections	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate to the research objective and theme of the journal.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary is complete, only including bioethical aspects of animal experimentation.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The work has a sequential organizational structure that allows the ideas explored and the theoretical aspects found to be taken to the results.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors describe the problem in a relatively complete introduction, with epidemiological information on the problem, which justifies the research; however, the objective is not clearly established. The methodology does not specify in detail the geographic area where the study was carried out. In addition, the type and design of the research are not included, nor are the bioethical aspects of research on animals. In the results, the images require specific titles and a detailed explanation of what is to be shown, and they lack an original source. The tables are very busy and with general titles, they are the original source. The discussion should be strengthened and the bibliographical references updated and adjusted to the format or style requirements of the journal.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The bibliographical references updated and adjusted to the format or style requirements of the journal.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Appropriate language	
Optional/General comments	It is recommended to publish after making corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gilberto Bastidas
Department, University & Country	Venezuela