

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_126945
Title of the Manuscript:	Psychosocial impact of COVID-19 as perceived by Australian-based West Africans survived in Ebola epidemic in West Africa: A Narrative Summary of Systematic Review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It provides a comparative analysis that helps to determine both viruses' psycho-social impact at different period of times. This study relates the contemporary discourses of pandemics that's why it's relevant and important. This study just traced two viruses (Ebola & Covid-19), and can add more like Swine flu and others. Why study is limited to only Australian survivors, both pandemics impacted the mental health globally	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Psychosocial impact of COVID-19 and Ebola: A Comparative Systematic Review of the survivors of Australian-based West Africans	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive but the thesis statement in the abstract is ambiguous that has to modify as clearer. Method needs to clarify again and narrative part of the title is not addressed.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, structured is followed by the author Theme like PTSD, fear stress, fear, anxiety, and depression are not discussed in details	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	On what basis author has compare the systematic review and did narrative analysis, provide the rationale of the study. Incorporate the recent studies on dual pandemics and make study more contemporary oriented	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Need to add some more contemporary study, references and insights which will help to justify the paper	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it's up to the mark, but in some paragraphs are ambiguous, try to follow academic and scientific language.	
Optional/General comments	How author is claiming that two thirds of the reviewed studies are significant or less than a quarter of studies reported the influence of past events during the pandemic? And one quarter of the reviewed articles, focused on how Australians coped with the COVID-19 pandemic	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tariq Mehmood
Department, University & Country	Central University of Kerala, India