

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_127583
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of Kmeans, Kmedoids, and Kshape Using Intelligent Optimization Algorithms
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-Organization of paper is poor - No proper literature survey is presented. - No proper gap identified, there is not much novelty in the paper. -conclusion is very abstract... need more elaboration and future ideas.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Quantitative results must be present in the abstract,so that the community can compare the literature with this paper	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	no	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Experimental results are not up to the standard. Experimental setup details must be elaborated. There are some qualitative and quantitative measures to identify the quality of clustering algorithms. This should be done.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not properly cited. Very less number of references. There is a scope to add recent literature in your study.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Moderate.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Velivela Gopinath
Department, University & Country	Sir C R Reddy College of Engineering, India