

### Review Form 3

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JEAI_126680  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Assessment of association of Tephritids with different fruits and vegetables in Terai Agro Ecological Region of West Bengal |
| Type of the Article      |   |

#### PART 1: Review Comments

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | The article is interesting and informative  |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  | Assessment of association of Tephritids with different fruits and vegetables in Terai Agro Ecological Region of West Bengal could be changed to Assessing the Impact of Tephritid Fruit Flies on Diverse Fruit and Vegetable Crops in West Bengal's Terai Agro-Ecological Region which would be more suitable   |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | Avoid repetition like The text repeats certain information, such as the species collected through different methods,Simplify complex sentences,short introduction can help to set the context of the study and explain its importance and could use words like "however," "therefore," and "in addition."   | Corrected   |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Yes   |   |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The study appears to be scientifically sound, providing a comprehensive analysis of tephritid species diversity and abundance in various fruit crops. The investigation effectively employed pheromone traps to capture and identify diverse species, offering valuable insights into their distribution and relative abundance. And also highlights the dominance. | Thank you   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | Could include more recent studies for reference   | Recent references have been included.   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  |   |   |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | Yes   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |   |

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>P. Lakshmi Devi Menon</b>                          |
| Department, University & Country | <b>NSS College Nemmara, Calicut University, India</b> |