

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126582
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Survey of Groundnut Gardens in Pollachi Taluk of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is important because it aims to provide information on the social environment of groundnut cultivation, which will help improve productivity and groundnut production in this locality	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable but must be reformulated as follows: Comprehensive survey on groundnut production in Tamil Nadu gardens	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive but the problem is missing in the description part, and also the method has not been clarified well	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	For this article to be scientifically solid it must present the results in the form of a table, figure, histogram and explain, and in terms of methodology it must use software in data processing	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Overall the reference is poor, these results must be discussed further	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The level of English used is low, I propose to use British English as it will be seen in the observations that I have made	
Optional/General comments	In general the abstract must be revised, the problem, the method are missing, as far as the introduction is concerned it is fine but the histograms and the curves must be deleted and replaced with linear data, the results must be represented in the form of table, histogram, diagram, figure.....As for the conclusion, it does not exist, the conclusion must not be represented by a figure, it is therefore necessary to delete the figure and make the conclusion correctly PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raogo Bernard Sawadogo
Department, University & Country	University at Norbert Zongo, Burkina-Faso