

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126582
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Survey of Groundnut Gardens in Pollachi Taluk of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very important and relevant oilseeds and Legumes producers. It is important and relevant to crop physiologists and crop protectionists in the study area. Very important to organic farmers, to soil and land managers	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Satisfactory	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically and technically ok because it was well written and has added/contributed to scientific knowledge to researchers, students, oilseed scientists and producers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Not consistent in writing references. References are scanty because introduction and discussion are scanty. Sufficient and recent except some few ones.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Scholarly suitable .	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Michael Mamman Degri
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Kashere, Nigeria