

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_126094
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Effects of Stone Herbal Mixture Drink on Liver Parameters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is an important manuscript for various reasons. The herbal formulation in question has been very popular, and it discusses its possible hepatotoxic or hepatoprotective effects. As ever more individuals turn to herbal-based therapies, the study provides relevant information in relation to the effects on hepatic function, keeping public health and safety issues in focus. This discussion of fluctuations in key liver biomarkers furthered both the risks and implicit benefits attached to herbal remedies-an increasingly crucial part of the domain of complementary medicine.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable and representative title of the paper: it appropriately describes the main theme of the research-to find the effect of the herbal mixture on the parameters of the liver. No corrections are required.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Though the abstract is generally informative, further narrowing of the gap would be seen if supplementary detail were there regarding the most important results in terms of implications of observed hepatotoxic as well as protective effects. The results, such as percentages of change in the levels of liver enzymes, make it more informative. If a clinical relevance were to be mentioned briefly, then that would further strengthen the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It has subheadings clearly indicating methodology, results, and discussion. The presentation in figures and tables makes the data clearer. A subsection for conclusion can be formulated to summarize the central findings and implications clearly differentiated from the discussion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript was diligent to show scientific rigor as it completed a clear experimental design towards addressing whether the herbal mixture has an effect on liver enzymes and proteins. Techniques used are appropriate to answer the research question, and data obtained support the conclusions. Including more expansive statistical analysis, such as confidence intervals or p values, for all comparisons would increase reliability of the study in findings. Even more biochemical research into the mechanism underlying these changes easily makes this manuscript stand out.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Generally, the references are very acceptable; however, it could improve with the recent studies of the period 2020-2024 where some of them contain specific detail involving herbal medicine-induced hepatotoxicity. More recent references concerning liver functions and an herbal mixture in general would expand the scope of the research for the study.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is fluent and workable but does necessitate some minor grammatical and stylistic corrections to make it an even better read, such as condensing the sentences in the results section and eliminating reduplication; all of which, however, will only further improve the manuscript's flow. Add more details on the statistical methods used and ensure all results are reported with p-values or confidence intervals.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Debajyoti Roy
Department, University & Country	C.V. Raman Global University, India