

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_126094
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Effects of Stone Herbal Mixture Drink on Liver Parameters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents the hepatic effects of a stone herbal mixture. The study employed a well-designed experimental protocol, including a positive control group and appropriate liver function tests. The results were clear and well-presented. The manuscript is well-written and presents valuable information. The minor corrections are highlighted in the manuscript	.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes, The title of the article is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes, The article abstract is comprehensive; however, the minor correction observed is the unit harmonization between the methodology and results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes, The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study employed a well-designed experimental protocol, including a positive control group and appropriate liver function tests. The statistical analysis is appropriate for the study design and sample size. The data were interpreted in a clear and logical manner. The manuscript demonstrated a high level of scientific rigor.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes, the references are sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments	yes, the quality of the English language is suitable	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akeem Olayinka Busari
Department, University & Country	Institute of Biological Sciences, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil