

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_126094
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Effects of Stone Herbal Mixture Drink on Liver Parameters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I liked this manuscript because it is not only examined the effect of different doses of stone herbal mix to select the most suitable dose but also give a solution if you had to use the undesirable effect doses by using sylimarin to ameliorate the negative effect of such doses on the liver	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	“the effect of different doses of stone herbal mixture on liver of rats and the ameliorative effect of sylimarin”	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is suitable	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Remove the duplicated paragraph between abstract and introduction 2- Introduction is too long, try to reduce it and eliminate repeated information 3- Remove groups design from experimental animals 4- Procurement of Stone herbal mixture and sylimarin to be but in material sex, with constituents and concentration of stone herbal mix to be mentioned 5- Remove the normal ranges of ALT and total protein because they belong to other species 6- Clarify the statistical analysis by letters, where different letters refer ti significant difference 7- Mention the level of significance below results table 8- Try to summarise conclusion 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	yes	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article needs native English revision	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Mohamed Elmahdy
Department, University & Country	Animal Health Research Institute, Egypt