

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_127366
Title of the Manuscript:	MOLECULAR STUDY: Nitroreductase enzyme and NIM genes effect on AMR to metronidazole in Clostridium difficile
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Today, metronidazole is increasingly prescribed in several situations and most often wrongly. This situation is likely to lead to an emergence of resistance of bacteria to this antibiotic. Hence this study is justified.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study provides molecular elements that explain the resistance of anaerobic bacteria to metronidazole and these bases will allow scientists to understand the mechanisms in order to properly organize the response.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are many years old. But, in my opinion, this is because research is very rare in this area. Many studies focus on commonly prescribed antibiotics including beta-lactams, quinolones, etc., and rarely has metronidazole aroused the curiosity of researchers.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Although the bibliography is very old, the value of this work is quite evident. It is well written with a clear methodology and a good presentation of the results.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kasamba Ilunga Eric
Department, University & Country	University of Lubumbashi, DR Congo