

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_125357
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of bio-slurry fertiliser on the nutritional quality of shade net grown Swiss chard
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This research article creates awareness on utilisation of waste produces like kitchen waste, cow dung waste and making it as a bio – slurry in turns it adds up nutrient to the cropping. 2. The paper calls for the use of bio slurry as a source for fertility restoration in soil in organic way 3. Hope, this study gains popularity in abroad, hence, more approaches for this findings can be carried out in other countries to state the variability among countries and nutrient pattern. 4. Can emphasize on other methods for bio slurry preparation to increase nutrient value. <p>SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The title of the paper is appropriate 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Yes, this abstract of this study is quite clear and very well written. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The subsection and structure were clearly elaborated towards Bioslurry. - The diagrams in the text makes it interactive and informative to readers 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Previous relevant work related to Bio slurry amendment in soil with other major crops can be cited in the background of the paper and the references are adequate, but some are missing. - The paper has been well written and it is clean. The science is good. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are appropriate however, there are around 7 references were given at Reference section. But it was not cited inside the manuscript.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the English language used is technical as per the scientific community requirement	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript is most promising oriented and enriched well. But there are little corrections needed in para phrasing, sentence combing, giving space at proper place. Remaining are quite well given. Acknowledgement can be added in the end of the manuscript before conclusion falls There is no ethical issue in this manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhilan G
Department, University & Country	Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru College of Agriculture and Research Institute, Pondicherry Central University, India