

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Agriculture |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJA_125883 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Market performance of small ruminant value chain in Tahtay Adyabo District, Tigray Region, Ethiopia. |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it examines the market performance of the small ruminant value chain in Tahtay Adyabo District, Tigray Region, Ethiopia. The research offers valuable insights into the efficiency and challenges faced by smallholder farmers, highlighting strategies for enhancing market access and profitability. However, the author could not clearly state the problem and justify the study, and some methods were not described adequately. Additionally, the manuscript lacks any discussion on their results, which limits the understanding of the findings. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title looks good | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract needs to be reformatted as I've highlighted in the abstract section of the manuscript. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | The manuscript includes a discussion subtitle; however, it primarily reports the results without any substantive analysis or interpretation, as I've clearly pointed out in the manuscript comments. I recommend expanding this section to engage more critically with the findings, exploring their implications and how they relate to existing literature or theories in the field | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript requires major corrections, as I have detailed in my comments throughout the manuscript | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Some of the references are cited inappropriately, disrupting the logical flow of sentences. I've highlighted specific examples in the manuscript and provided comments for clarity | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The quality of English requires grammatical improvement, and the flow of sentences needs to be improved to ensure clarity for the reader. I've provided examples in the manuscript to illustrate these issues. | |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript requires major corrections, as noted in my comments for improvement. If these comments are well addressed, the manuscript will be significantly improved | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Bashiri Iddy Muzzo |
| Department, University & Country | Sokoine University of Agriculture, Tanzania |