

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_127737
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Advancing Gender-sensitive Transitional Justice: A Path Towards Healing and Equality in Sudan</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The justice gap for women and girls is complex in the context of women's role in shaping peaceful and inclusive societies, including through their meaningful participation in transitional justice processes. This role must be acknowledged and strengthened in order to ensure sustainable peace and a more just future for all. Therefore, this manuscript becomes meaningful in the present scenario.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I would suggest "Navigating Gender-sensitive Transitional Justice: A Path Towards Healing and Equality in Sudan"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No, the manuscript is not scientifically correct. 1. A <b>conceptual framework</b> illustrates the expected relationship between your variables. It should be represented in a visual format and should illustrate cause and effect relationship. Kindly include a visual representing the relationship. 2. The Method part(point no 8) in the manuscript requires rewriting specifically the last three lines which are lacking in the meaningful methodology used. 3, more theories should be included in the theoretical framework to support research	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There is repetition of References in the Reference part.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	One part of the script needs rewriting- point number 8 Method	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The Article must be modified with inclusion of visual format in conceptual framework and theories in theoretical framewok</b>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Uma Gulati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Technology and Science, India</b>