

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_128043
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.) Accessions Resistance against Fusarium Wilt (<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>).
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work is significant because of the importance of tomatoes and its production, so that consideration and monitoring of the impact on this topic are very important for the scientific community. And above all, it is important because of the study on several territories.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and well written.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the paper is written scientifically quite correctly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The paper has enough references. No additional references are required.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is appropriate for school communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The work is well thought out and the examinations and discussion are well done.</p> <p>1. In the introductory part there is a short sentence that tomato is rich in vitamins and minerals. It is necessary to enrich that sentence and write closer to which vitamins and minerals.</p> <p>2. Considering that the work during the examination is done in different locations, it would be nice to enrich the work with some pictures, for example, pictures of the locations from which the samples were taken.</p> <p>3. Table 3 and Table 4 are placed after the conclusion, they should be moved to the results and discussion section.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aleksandra Tasić
Department, University & Country	Scientific Institute of Veterinary Medicine of Serbia, Serbia