

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_127655
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial Activities of Extracts and Synthesized Silver Nanoparticles from Allium Sativum on Urinary Quinolone-Resistant Escherichia Coli
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	After studying the manuscript thoroughly, it can be affirmed that this paper will play a pivotal role in the scientific community and it is important for new researchers about the the antibacterial activities of crude extracts and synthesized Ag-NPs from <i>Allium sativum</i> on urinary quinolone resistant <i>E. coli</i> .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suited to the content and the idea of the paper.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is very good with the key concepts of the paper and it is comprehensive about introduction results and aim of the study in short.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate in all section and structure of the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific strength and technical soundness through its accurate methodology and comprehensive data analysis. The authors have employed a well-designed experimental structures.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	In terms of this paper, I think the list of references need to updates.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quality of the language of this article is suitable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I think the list of references need to updates just.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Naser Hussein
Department, University & Country	Afak General Hospital, Iraq