

Initial Consonant Mutation in Fulfulde: An Optimality Theoretic Account

Abstract

This paper examines initial consonant mutation in the Sokoto dialect of Fulfulde within the tradition of Optimality Theory. Initial consonant mutation in this dialect is seen to follow the stop – continuant pattern rather than the stop – continuant – nasal pattern found in other Fulfulde dialects. Loss of prenasalisation in word-initial position in the Sokoto dialect is responsible for this difference. Based on data collected from fieldwork, it is found that Sokoto Fulfulde mutation pairs are, except for the nasal grade, exactly as those found in other dialects. Findings reveal that since the mutation feature in this dialect is [+continuant], and the feature docks on the left edge of stems, Alignment Constraint [ALIGN] is crucial and undominated. The study uncovers other constraints, thus providing an explanation for the occurrence of some unexpected mutation pairs such as k/h, ʃ/s and d/r. The study concludes that mutation in variform stems in the Sokoto dialect of Fulfulde can be accounted for by seven constraints; six of which must be undominated.

Keywords: Initial Consonant Mutation, Optimality Theory, Constraints, Sokoto Dialect

Comment [LA1]:

You need to state what constitutes your data. The number of words collected for investigation, and the source or sources.

Comment [LA2]: Nasal grade? Use phonetic term.

Comment [LA3]: from

1. Introduction

Fulfulde is a member of the Northern Atlantic genus of the Niger-Congo family (Seeger, 2002; Seeger, 2010 in Fisher, 2015; Harrison, et al. 2012; Dryer & Haspelmath, 2013;

Segerer&Pozdniakov, 2016). This language is spoken in many countries of West Africa with a sizeable number of speakers. In Nigeria, the language is spoken most of the northern states, particularly Adamawa, Gombe, Bauchi, Sokoto, Kebbi, Zamfara, Kano, Jigawa, and Kaduna. Fulfulde speakers are mainly migratory; always moving in search of pasture for their livestock. The consequence of such continuous movement is the growth of dialects as a result of different factors, particularly language contact.

Comment [LA4]: spoken in most

The growth of dialects as a result of the movement makes it difficult to say with certainty, the exact number of Fulfulde dialects. Girei(2009, p.18) for example, questions the identification and demarcation of the dialect areas by scholars on Fulfulde. He buttresses his argument by citing Wolfram (1991), who enumerates the factors responsible for dialect formation which include “settlement, migration routes, physical factors and language contact”. Girei (ibid) thus observes that “it is apparent that the development of Fulfulde dialects will be a continuous process” explaining that “to determine the number of dialects of the language in the near future is going to be an odious task, since all factors listed are still in active play”. It is for this reason that this paper limits its focus to the Sokoto dialect of Fulfulde and describes the initial consonant mutation found in the dialect within the framework of Optimality Theory. The data is drawn from the fieldwork conducted in 2015 in different parts of the dialect area.

Comment [LA5]: Provide literature to back up the statement.

Comment [LA6]: (...),

Comment [LA7]: Girei

Comment [LA8]: Quote the page number.

Comment [LA9]:

Comment [LA10]: that,

Comment [LA11]: continuous

Comment [LA12]: process,”

Comment [LA13]: Quote the page.

Comment [LA14]: Page number?

Comment [LA15]:
The introduction is lumped up. This is no clear cut between the introduction and methodology. These are two separate aspects. Also, there is no research objective nor question. So, the work is vague. Also, there is no indication of Literature review.

Comment [H16]: The introduction lacks a clear research problem or gap. Why is this work necessary? What unresolved issues or new insights does it aim to provide? There is no clear thesis statement outlining the main contribution of the paper. It does not provide a concise overview of the structure of the paper.

Comment [LA17]: State the dialect.

Comment [LA18]: study,

Comment [LA19]: Which of the 1s? Give it a specific name to demarcate for instance, Figures, tables, examples, etc.

Initial consonant mutation in Fulfulde is largely reported following the continuant – stop – nasal pattern; described as grade I, grade II and grade III respectively in Fulfulde literature. These grades parallel continuant, stop and nasal. In the dialect under study however, it is argued that the mutation pattern is continuant – stop (schematised in 1) following the non-occurrence of the nasal grade (prenasalised stops are not found in word-initial positions according to Miyamoto, 1989). A number of processes are reportedly responsible for consonant mutation in Fulfulde; however, the most obvious trigger is argued to be morphological – as part of the morphological markers for singular, plural, diminutive and augmentative.

Comment [H20]: There is no explicit methodology section. While the use of OT is evident, the source of data is unclear. Are the examples taken from corpus data, fieldwork, or existing studies? If these are constructed examples, how is their accuracy verified? Is this a synchronic or diachronic analysis? The criteria for selecting constraints like ALIGN, *BLB, and IDENT-IO are not explained. Are these constraints standard in OT analyses of mutation, or are they novel proposals?

(1) Mutation Schema

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
'Continuant'		r	w	wjj	f	s	h	b	d	dʒg		
'Stop'		d	b	gdʒg	p	ʃ	k	b	d	dʒg		

Arnott (1970, p.92) explains initial consonant mutation in Fulfulde by identifying three stem types: *uniform*, *variform* and *unchanging* stems as defined below:

- a. *Uniform stems*: “the initial consonant of which is the same in all circumstances”. Consonants belonging to this class are “(t, l; m, n, ny; ʃ, d, ‘y, ’)¹” and the “N-category consonants (mb, nd, nj, ŋg, p, sh, k)” in respect of the Gombedialect of Fulfulde. With the exception of the “N-category consonants” which do not occur, these consonants are also *uniform* in the Sokoto dialect as represented in columns 9-11 in (1) above.
- b. *Variform stems*: “the initial consonant of which varies in accordance with the consonant alternation system”. These are represented by columns 1-8 in (1) above.
- c. *Unchanging stems*: “which have in initial position one of the consonants normally subject to alternation (i.e. columns 1-8 in (1) above), but which nevertheless remain unchanged in all circumstances”.

Comment [LA21]: But there is no column. You did not put it in a table

Comment [LA22]: 1-8 in (1) above; not in columns. Make a table, please.

Comment [LA23]: Draw a table.

2. Phoneme Inventory

The phonemic inventory of the Sokoto Fulfulde dialect comprises 24 consonant sounds as shown on the chart in (2).

Comment [LA24]: ...Table 2. Make the mutation schema Table 1.

(2) Sokoto Fulfulde consonant phonemes

	Bilabial	Labio-dental	Alveolar	Post-Alveolar	Palatal	Velar	Labial-velar	Glottal
Stops	p b		t d			k g		ʔ
Affricatives				ʃ dʒ				
Fricatives		f	s					h
Implosives	ɓ		ɗ					
Ejectives				ʃ̣ ʔ̣		ḳ		
Nasals	m		n		ɲ	ŋ		

¹Arnott's 'ny, 'y and ' are equivalent to [ɲ, ʃ̣, ʔ̣]. Note also that [ʃ̣ ʔ̣] is orthographic 'y'.

Approximants			r		j		w	
Lateral			l					

3. Initial Consonant Mutation

The examination of initial consonant mutation in the Sokotodialect of Fulfulde nouns follows Arnott's classification of noun stems as *uniform*, *variform* and *unchanging* as explained above. The discussion centres on nouns with *variform* initial segments. The phenomenon of initial consonant mutation from the purview of Optimality Theory can be explained by evoking the concept of *Generalized Alignment* (McCarthy & Prince, 1994). This is because the consistent docking of the mutation feature at the left edge of stems requires a constraint that aligns the feature at the appropriate site. For stems whose initial segments are not subject to mutation, the theory provides for constraints that ban the mutation feature from docking on such segments which have not been addressed in this paper.

3.1 Initial Consonant Mutation in Variform initial segments

Variform initial segments are examined, using the four (4) simple mutation correspondences, p/f, k/h, c/s and d/r to see how these constraints preserve the attested mutation pairings. The examples however, have a continuant/stop pairing because they are in singular/plural pairs. Recall from (17) in chapter 2 that nouns that do not refer to human beings have their singulars in the continuant grade and plurals in the stop grade.

3.1.1 p/f mutation

Examples of some nouns and verbs exhibiting p/f mutation are provided in (3.) In (3a) are examples from nouns while (3b) are from verbs.

(3) Examples of f/p mutation in nouns and verbs.

a. Examples from nouns

<i>few-re</i>	[few-re]	<i>pew-e</i>	[pew-e]	'lie'
<i>faan-du</i>	[fa:n-dɔ]	<i>paa-li</i>	[pa:-li]	'gourd'
<i>fad-o</i>	[fad-o]	<i>pad-e</i>	[pad-e]	'shoe'

Comment [LA25]: This expression is not clear. Which of the papers? Are you saying this aspect has not been investigated, and your focus is on it, or what? Make it clear, please.

Comment [LA26]: There is a mix up here. You are not working on chapters, but you made mention of chapter 2 (17). This is lifting from somewhere.

Comment [LA27]: Remove the bracket.

Comment [LA28]: nouns, while 3b are from verbs.

faaw-ru[fa:w-ro] *paab-i* [pa:b-i] ‘frog’
finor-du[fmor-do] *pinor-di* [pmor-di] ‘antimony stick’

b. Examples from verbs

Singular		Plural		Gloss
<i>o-few-i</i>	[ʔo-few-i]	<i>be-pew-i</i>	[be-pew-i]	lied
<i>o-faan-dii</i>	[ʔo-fa:n-di:]	<i>be-paan-dii</i>	[be-pa:n-di:]	approached
<i>o-fad-ii</i>	[ʔo-fad-i:]	<i>be-pad-ii</i>	[be-pad-i:]	put on shoes
<i>o-folw-ii</i>	[ʔo-folw-i:]	<i>be-polw-ii</i>	[be-polw-i:]	woke up
<i>o-fid-i</i>	[ʔo-fid-i]	<i>be-pid-i</i>	[be-pid-i]	shot (something)

Note that the trigger of mutation in verbs is the subject: singular subjects occur with continuant-initial stems and plural subjects with stop-initial ones. Recall however, that the mutation pattern for verbs holds only when the subject of the verb is pre-posed as shown in (4a-b). When the subject is postposed, the verb stem remains in the stop grade irrespective of number as the examples in (4c-d) show.

(4) Examples of pre-posed and postposed constructions in Sokoto Fulfulde verbs.

a. Pre-posed subject

o-war-ii [ʔo-war-i:] ‘s/he (3SG.) has come’
mi-war-ii [mi-war-i:] ‘I (1SG.) have come’
a-war-ii [ʔa-war-i:] ‘you (2SG.) have come’

b. Postposed subject

on-gar-ii [ʔon-gar-i:] ‘you (2PL.) have come’
min-gar-ii [min-gar-i:] ‘we (1PL. excl.) have come’
en-gar-ii [ʔen-gar-i:] ‘we (1PL. incl.) have come’
be-gar-ii [be-gar-i:] ‘they (3PL.) have come’

c. Postposed subject

gar-mi[gar-mɪ] ‘I (1SG.) came’
gar-daa[gar-dɑː] ‘you (2SG.) came’

d. Postposed subject

gar-don[gar-dɔn] ‘you (2PL.) came’
gar-den[gar-dɛn] ‘we (1PL. incl.) came’

e. Unattested

**gar-o* ‘s/he (3SG.) came’
**gar-min* ‘we (1PL. excl.) came’
**gar-be* ‘they (3PL.) came’

The examples in (4a) are those in which the subject of the verb is singular and thus, the verb stems are all in the continuant grade. In (4b) are plural subjects, making the stems to occur in the stop grade. The examples in (4c–d) are those in which the subjects of the verb are postposed: the subjects in (4c) are singular while those in (4d) are plural but the verb stems are all in the stop grade – a confirmation that there is no mutation when the subjects occur after the verb stem. The examples in (4e) are cases where the postposed subject reading is not available, although the last example, *gar-be*, in a different context, means ‘those that came’.

With the foregoing explanation, representative tableaux are constructed for each mutation type ~~in what follows~~, beginning with the noun pairs *few-re/pew-e* ‘lie(s)’. Recall that Alignment is relevant for mutation. To discuss initial consonant mutation therefore, the Alignment constraint, stated in (5) is necessary. The proposal in this paper is that the stop grade is the underlying representation. In addition, since the mutation pairs have labial-initial segments, the constraint in (6) which militates against the **continuancy** of bilabial sounds is needed. Moreover, since the stop grade is the underlying representation and mutation adds [+continuant] feature, a *faithfulness* constraint that requires identity to the [–continuant] feature is needed. The constraint is stated in (7). There is equally the need for a constraint that will penalise voiced labio-dentals as stated in (8). The constraints in (5), (6), (7) and (8) are represented as ALIGN, *BLB, IDENT-IO and *LABin all tableaux. Recall that since the

Comment [LA29]: (5) below...

Comment [LA30]: below...

Comment [LA31]: Did you mean continuance?

Comment [LA32]: (7) below...

underlying representation is argued to be the stop grade, all plurals are not subject to mutation since they occur with that grade. Consequently, only their singular counterparts are addressed in the discussion of mutation.

(5) ALIGN ([+CONT], L, STEM, L).

The feature [+continuant] must be aligned at the left edge of the stem.

(6) *BLB-CONT

If Bilabial, then not continuant.

(7) IDENT-IO [-CONT]

Correspondent input and output form share identical [-continuant] features.

(8) *LAB-DENTAL [VOI]

Avoid voiced labio-dentals

(9) Tableau for [few-re] 'lie'.

	/pew + -re [+CONT]/	ALIGN	*BLB	*LAB	IDENT-IO
a.	[pew-re]	*!			
b.	[few-re]				*
c.	[ϕ ew-re]		*!		*
d.	[β ew-re]		*!		*
e.	[vew-re]		*!	*!	*

In (9), the constraints are not ranked but the outcome suggests that ALIGN should be ranked to dominate IDENT-IO otherwise the sub-optimal candidate (a) will emerge as the winner. The ranking of ALIGN relative to *BLB-CONT is inconsequential as either way, the same result will emerge. The two are therefore unranked with respect to each other. Taking the sequencing of the constraints in (9) as the established and crucial ranking clearly selects the attested candidate (b) as the winner, with a non-fatal violation of IDENT-IO. Candidate (a)

suffers a fatal violation of ALIGN and the sub-optimal candidates (c and d) are removed by *BLB-CONT while *LAB-DENTAL [VOI], is violated by the last sub-optimal candidate (e). The ranking in (10) in which ALIGN dominates IDENT-IO [-CONT], is the correct and crucial ranking.

- (10) ALIGN ([+CONT], L, STEM, L), *BLB-CONT, *LAB-DENTAL [VOI] >> IDENT-IO [-CONT]

In (11) below, the same p/f mutation in verbs is examined taking as representative examples, two minimal complexes *o-few-i/be-pew-i* 's/he (3SG.)/they (3PL.) lied'. Recall from (36) that all singular subjects, irrespective of number, trigger the continuant grade whereas all plural subjects trigger the stop grade.

- (11) Tableau for [ʔo-few-i] 's/he (3SG.) lied'.

	/pew + i (SG.) [+CONT]/	ALIGN	*BLB	*LAB	IDENT-IO
a.	[ʔo-pew-i]	*!			
b.	[ʔo-few-i]				*
c.	[ʔo-vew-i]			*!	*
d.	[ʔo-βew-i]		*!		*
e.	[ʔo-ϕew-i]		*!		*

In (11), the constraints as ranked, are effective as they select the attested candidate (b) as the optimal candidate. The most faithful candidate (a) fails on ALIGN as it has a stop-initial stem. Candidate (c) is eliminated by *LAB-DENTAL [VOI] whereas candidates (d) and (e) are eliminated by *BLB-CONT. Candidate (b), with a non-fatal violation of IDENT-IO, is selected as optimal. The plural occurs with a stop grade and as has no mutation.

The tableau in (11) serves as representative of all instances of mutation involving verbs. This is because as noted earlier, only the subject of the verb determines the initial consonant of the stem. Consistently therefore, every singular subject will occur with a continuant-initial stem

Comment [LA33]: ...

and every plural subject, with a stop-initial stem. Consequently, although examples will be provided from both nouns and verbs for every mutation type, subsequent tableaux will focus on nouns only.

3.1.2 k/h mutation

This section presents nouns and verbs stems, the initial segments of which are in k/h mutation correspondence. Nouns are in (12a) while verbs are in (12b).

(12) Examples of h/k mutation in Sokoto Fulfulde nouns and verbs

a. Examples from nouns

<i>koyŷû-du</i>	[hoʃʷʷ̃ʊ-dʊ]	<i>koyŷû-li</i>	[koʃʷ̃ʷ̃ʊ-lɪ]	'occiput'
<i>hine-re</i>	[hine-re]	<i>kin-e</i>	[kin-e]	'nose'
<i>hoo-re</i>	[ho:r-e]	<i>ko-e</i>	[ko-e]	'head'
<i>haw-re</i>	[haw-re]	<i>kaβ-e</i>	[kaβ-e]	'fight'
<i>hoon-du</i>	[ho:n-dʊ]	<i>koo-li</i>	[ko:-lɪ]	'finger'

b. Examples from verbs

Singular		Plural		Gloss
<i>o-hoyi</i>	[ʔo-hoj-ɪ]	<i>be-koy-i</i>	[ʔe-koj-ɪ]	recuperated
<i>o-hul-i</i>	[ʔo-hʊl-ɪ]	<i>be-kul-i</i>	[ʔe-kʊl-ɪ]	got frightened
<i>o-heewn-i</i>	[ʔo-he:wn-ɪ]	<i>be-keewn-i</i>	[ʔe-ke:wn-ɪ]	filled up
<i>o-hocc-i</i>	[ʔo-hoʃʷʷ̃-ɪ]	<i>be-kocc-i</i>	[ʔe-koʃʷʷ̃-ɪ]	took
<i>o-haang-aa</i>	[ʔo-ha:ŋg-a:]	<i>be-kaang-aa</i>	[ʔe-ka:ŋg-a:]	became mad

In (14), a representative tableau for k/h mutation is provided taking *hoyŷû-du* from the singular and plural pair *hoyŷû-du/koyŷû-li* [hoʃʷʷ̃ʊ-dʊ/koʃʷ̃ʷ̃ʊ-lɪ] 'occiput(s)'. An additional constraint to remove continuant velars is needed; hence, it is stated in (13). This is necessary because the stop grade mutation in the pair /k/ is a velar sound. The constraint is represented as *VELAR on the tableau.

Comment [LA34]: k/h or h/k? Be consistent.

Comment [LA35]: h/k or k/h?

Comment [LA36]: nouns:

Comment [LA37]: verbs:

(13) *VELAR-CONT

Avoid Continuant velars

(14) Tableau for [hoʃʷʃʷo-dʊ] ‘occiput (SG.)’.

	/koʃʷʃʷo + dʊ [+CONT]/	ALIGN	*VELAR	IDENT-IO
a.	[koʃʷʃʷo-dʊ]	*!		
b.	[hoʃʷʃʷo-dʊ]			*
c.	[yoʃʷʃʷo-dʊ]		*!	*
d.	[xoʃʷʃʷo-dʊ]		*!	*

In (14), the stop-initial candidate (a) suffers a fatal violation of ALIGN and is ruled out. The other sub-optimal candidates (c) and (d) violate *VELAR-CONT thus, paving the way for the selection of the optimal candidate (b).

3.1.3 c/s mutation

In this section, c/s mutation is considered. As usual, examples are provided from both nouns in (15a) and verbs in (15b).

(15) Examples of s/c mutation in SokotoFula nouns and verbs

a. Examples from nouns

<i>sekk-o</i> [sekk-o]	<i>cekk-e</i>	[ʃɛkk-e]	‘fencing mat’
<i>sagg-o</i> [sagg-o]	<i>cagg-e</i>	[ʃagg-e]	‘hide of sheep’
<i>son-du</i> [son-dʊ]	<i>col-li</i>	[ʃol-li]	‘bird’
<i>saw-ru</i> [saw-ɾʊ]	<i>cabb-i</i>	[ʃabb-i]	‘stick’
<i>sapp-o</i> [sapp-o]	<i>cappan-dɛ</i>	[ʃappan-dɛ]	‘ten’

b. Examples from verbs

Singular	Plural	Gloss
----------	--------	-------

Comment [LA38]: Remove

Comment [LA39]: Examples...

<i>o-sel-i</i>	[ʔo-sel-i]	<i>be-cel-i</i>	[be-ʃel-i]	branched off
<i>o-sapp-ii</i>	[ʔo-sapp-i:]	<i>be-capp-ii</i>	[be-ʃapp-i:]	pointed at
<i>o-sipp-i</i>	[ʔo-sipp-i]	<i>be-cipp-i</i>	[be-ʃipp-i]	sold
<i>o-suud-i</i>	[ʔo-su:d-i]	<i>be-cuud-i</i>	[be-ʃu:d-i]	hid (sth.)
<i>o-suud-ii</i>	[ʔo-su:d-i:]	<i>be-cuud-ii</i>	[be-ʃu:d-i:]	hid (self)

In (18), a representative *c/s* mutation tableau is provided with *sekk-o* [sekk-o] the singular of the pair *sekk-o/cekk-e* [sekk-o/ʃekke-e] ‘fencing mat’ as an example. Two additional constraints are introduced in (16) and (17) to aid the analysis.

(16) *COR ([+CONT], [-SON], [-STRID])

Avoid coronals specified for the features ([+continuant], [-sonorant], [-strident]).

(17) *COR ([+CONT], [-ANT])

Avoid coronals specified for the feature [+continuant], [-anterior].

Both constraints are represented as *COR [STR] and *COR {ANT} on the tableau respectively.

(18) Tableau for [sekk-o] ‘fencing mat (SG.)’.

	/ʃekke [+CONT]/	+ o	ALIGN	*COR [STR]	*COR {ANT}	IDENT- IO
a.	[ʃekke-o]		*!			
b.	[sekk-o]					*
c.	[θekke-o]			*!		*
d.	[ðekke-o]			*!		*
e.	[ʃekke-o]				*!	*

In (18), candidate (a) is eliminated for incurring a fatal violation of ALIGN while candidates (c) and (d) violate fatally, *COR ([+CONT], [-SON], [-STRID]). Candidate (e) violates *COR

([+CONT], [-ANT]) which disqualifies it. Candidate (b), with a non-fatal violation of IDENT-IO remains the optimal candidate.

3.1.4 d/r mutation

The last of the less complex mutation pairings is addressed in this section. Examples of nouns and verbs exhibiting this mutation type are given in (19a) and (19b).

(19) Examples of r/d mutation in SokotoFula nouns and verbs

a. Examples from nouns

<i>ree-du</i>	[re:-dʊ]	<i>dee-dī</i>	[de:-dī]	‘stomach’
<i>riisii-re</i>	[ri:si:-re]	<i>diisii-je</i>	[di:si:-dʒe]	‘boar’
<i>rood-de</i>	[ro:d-de]	<i>doo-dē</i>	[do:-dē]	‘bird dropping’
<i>ragg-e-re</i>	[ragge-re]	<i>dagg-e</i>	[dagg-e]	‘a knock on the head’
<i>rub̄b-e-re</i>	[rub̄be-re]	<i>dub̄b-e</i>	[dub̄b-e]	‘fan palm fruit’

Comment [H40]: Nouns:

b. Examples from verbs

Singular		Plural		Gloss
<i>o-ron-i</i>	[ʔo-ron-i]	<i>be-don-i</i>	[be-don-i]	inherited
<i>o-ragg-i</i>	[ʔo-ragg-i]	<i>be-dagg-i</i>	[be-dagg-i]	knocked sb. on head
<i>o-raar-i</i>	[ʔo-ra:r-i]	<i>be-daar-i</i>	[be-da:r-i]	looked
<i>o-ruf-i</i>	[ʔo-rof-i]	<i>be-duf-i</i>	[be-duf-i]	poured (sth.) away
<i>o-res-i</i>	[ʔo-res-i]	<i>be-des-i</i>	[be-des-i]	put (sth.) down

Comment [H41]: Verbs:

In (21), a typical tableau is provided for d/r mutation using the pair *ree-du/dee-dī* [re:-dʊ/de:-dī] ‘stomach’. As usual, only the singular is subject to mutation and hence, *is* the one represented. An additional constraint is yet needed to analyse this mutation type and it is stated in 20.

Comment [H42]: (21) below,

Comment [H43]: It is ...

(20) *COR ([+CONT], [-SON], [+VOI]).

Avoid a coronal specified for the features [+continuant], [-sonorant] and [+voice].

The constraint is represented as *COR [VOI] on the tableau.

(21) Tableau for [re:-dɔ] ‘stomach (SG.)’.

	/de: + dɔ [+CONT]/	ALIGN	*COR [STR]	*COR [VOI]	IDENT-IO
	a. [de:-dɔ]	*!			
ESP	b. [re:-dɔ]				*
	c. [ze:-dɔ]			*!	*
	d. [ðe:-dɔ]		*!		*

In (21), candidate (a) is removed for fatally violating ALIGN whereas candidate(c) fails on *COR [VOI]. Candidate (d) is eliminated for incurring fatal violations of *COR [STR]. Candidate (b) has the least violation and is thus selected as the optimal candidate.

Comment [H44]: Above,

Having considered the less intricate mutation pairs, a ranking schema is provided in (22) for all the constraints that have so far been introduced.

(22) ALIGN ([+CONT], L, STEM, L), *BLB-CONT, *VELAR-CONT, *COR ([+CONT], [-SON], [-STRID]), *COR ([+CONT], [+STRID], [-ANT]), *COR ([+CONT], [-SON], [+VOI]) >>, IDENT-IO [-CONT].

4. Discussion

The Sokoto dialect of Fulfulde has 24 consonant phonemes in its inventory; 16 are obstruents while 8 are sonorants. Among the 16 obstruents are only 3 continuants, [f, s, h] which all participate in mutation. The remaining 13obstruents are all in the stop grade and only /t/ has no mutation partner. The implosives [ɓ, ɗ], the palato-alveolar ejective [tʃ̥] and the velar ejective [Kʰ] have also no mutation partners. Of the 8 sonorants, [m, n, ɲ, ɳ, r, l, j, w]which are all continuants except [l] – the continuancy of which is controversial, only three [r, j, w] participate in mutation. Mutation involving [l] is quite limited.

Comment [H45]: Which were all involved in mutation.

Comment [H46]:

Comment [H47]: ???

Looking at the consonants in relation to those that have mutation correspondents, it is seen that some of them are not involved. The nasals [m, n, ɲ, ŋ] and the glottal stop [ʔ] remain uniform in all contexts and that is why they are not seen in the schema provided in (1). The stops [b, d, dʒ, g] are the sounds described by Arnott (1970) as unchanging when in stem-initial positions. They participate in mutation in some situations but not in others. Examples from pairs of singulars and plurals show this clearly: [b] is in a mutation relationship with [w] in words like *baadi/waandu* ‘monkeys’ but remains unchanging in *baalu/baali* ‘sheep’. The same thing happens with [d]. It undergoes mutation with [r] in words like *deedi/reedu* ‘stomach’ but is unchanging in words like *daande/daade* ‘neck’. [dʒ] is also in mutation with [j] in words like *jahe/yaare* ‘scorpion’. Similarly, [g] which is equally in mutation with [j] in words like *geece/yeeso* ‘face’ remains unchanged in words like *geelooba/geeloodi* ‘camel’.

The variform stems, which make the study worthwhile, show an alternation as stated in (1). The pairings [d/r, p/f, k/h, tʃ/s, b/w, g/w, g/j, dʒ/j] leave more questions than answers. The pair d/r for example is found because of the absence of the alveolar voiced continuant [z] generally in Fulfulde. It would have been the perfect mutation partner for [d]. The pair p/f is also there because bilabial continuants are banned in the language, k/h even more so because of the prohibition against continuancy in velar sounds. tʃ/s mutation occurs only because [t], the perfect partner to [s] does not participate in mutation. The remaining mutation pairs, except g/j, are phonologically expected. [b] and [w] share labial features, [g] and [w] share dorsal features; and [dʒ] and [j] share palatal features. It is difficult to say that [g] and [j] share any phonological features. It is for these reasons that this paper embraces Optimality Theory to explain why some pairings are licit but others are not. The obvious result is that there are constraints that favour some configurations over others. The analysis shows that seven constraints can handle initial consonant mutation in simple variform noun stems. The

Comment [H48]: continuants

ranking shows 7 constraints in 2 tiers with 6 undominated constraints dominating IDENT-IO

[–CONT].

Comment [H49]: The discussion is overly technical and focused on tableaux without broader linguistic or theoretical implications. How does this work compare to previous analyses of mutation in other languages? What does it reveal about Fulfulde as a typologically interesting language?

5. Conclusion

Initial consonant mutation makes the feature [+continuant] to attach to the left edge of stems in a language like Fulfulde. Optimality Theory provides a way of explaining initial consonant mutation by providing both universal and language-specific constraints. These constraints provide an opening towards the understanding of which consonant pairs are permitted as well as the non-permitted ones. The theory accounts for the analysis of language using the constraints, while constraint ranking, with some constraints dominating others, selects the optimal out of the many candidates submitted for evaluation.

Comment [H50]: The conclusion is underdeveloped. It does not summarise the main findings, restate the significance of the study, or suggest avenues for future research.

References

Arnott, D. W. (1970). *The nominal and verbal system of Fula*. Clarendon Press.

Dryer, M. S. & Haspelmath, M. eds. (2013). *The world atlas of language structures online*. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology. (Available online at <http://wals.info>, Accessed on 2018-06-18.)

McCarthy, J., J. & Prince, A. S. (1994). Generalized alignment. *Yearbook of Morphology 1993*. 79-154.

Segerer, G. (2002). *La langue bijogo de Bubaque (Guinée Bissau)*. Collection Afriquet Langage 3. Peeters.

Segerer, G. and Pozdniakov, K. (2016). *A new, innovation-based classification of Atlantic languages*. A presentation at the 47th Annual Conference on African Linguistics, the University of California, Berkeley.