

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_127245
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF ADDITION OF MURBEI ( <i>Morus alba</i> L.) FLOWERS TO FOOD FOR FATHERING MANGROVE CRABS ( <i>Scylla serrata</i> ) APARTMENT SYSTEMS
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is much important for the scientific community as the research work is thorough, the research was conducted within a good period of time, gives a clear view of matter on the ground with clarity. In addition, the data was properly collected and a thorough analysis done.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title well suites the manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is adequately comprehensive. It gives a covers all the aspects within the document in totality.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well structured.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct, this is attributed to the manner at which the research methodology has been achieved, timeliness of the data collection process, the research procedure used and the final data analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and are within a good time span	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is scholarly sound	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On section 3.3 and on figure 4, the word 'specific' has been misspelled</li> <li>The References should be arranged into the falling indent paragraphing format too in entirety</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bryan Okoth Omondi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Eldoret, Kenya</b>