

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127555
Title of the Manuscript:	Utility assessment of Singapore daisy as a tool for management
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Content in the manuscript is important, phytoremediation of heavy metal from the contaminated soils is one of the burning topic Experimental study is good	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Utility assessment of Singapore daisy (invasive weed) as a tool for management of Weeds	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract given a good information of content, little grammatical changes should be taken	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscript is correct, a simple explanation has given	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, few references covered recent but should collect more	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, very little grammatical mistakes are need to change, its very simple language to communicate and interpret the analysis report	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sudhakar Gummadi
Department, University & Country	Loyola Academy Degree and PG College, Osmania University, India