

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_127909
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of Fibrinogen Degradation Products and C-Reactive Protein in Sickle Cell Disease and Non-Sickle Cell Individuals in University College Hospital, Ibadan, Oyo state.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The aim and objectives were comprehensive enough and important to the scientific community	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient however there were some inconsistencies in presentation as identified on the manuscript	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is suitable however authors need to be consistent in adopting American or British spellings preferably British	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript concept is scientifically targeted however there some laboratory methods and statistical (sample size calculation for a case control studies) inconsistencies that needs to be addressed. Did not state if ethical clearance have been obtained or being expected after submissions were made; 'Prior to the study's start, a request for ethical permission was made to the State Ministry of Health's Joint Ethical Committee' Manuscript need some revision especially on the laboratory methods(inconsistent presentation/marketing) and statistical methods(sample size calculation for a case control studies/use of mean±SD or Media(IQR). Ethical clearance not categorical obtained or expecting.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jatau Ezra Danjuma
Department, University & Country	University of Jos, Nigeria