

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_127909
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of Fibrinogen Degradation Products and C-Reactive Protein in Sickle Cell Disease and Non-Sickle Cell Individuals in University College Hospital, Ibadan, Oyo state.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	It is a valuable manuscript describe the prediction of vascular crisis and inflammatory crisis on the sickle cell patients, and focus on it for helping sickle cell anemia patients.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes .	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	It is comprehensive abstract.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	It is sufficient.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, it is very clear.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Increasing the sample size to more sofisticate statistical value.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elteleb Gafer Elnaim Abdullah
Department, University & Country	Eldaein University, Sudan