

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_127769
Title of the Manuscript:	Kinetics of non-exchangeable potassium release in rice-groundnut cropping system in alluvial soils of Odisha, India
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The manuscript is very helpful for understanding the mode of action of non exchangeable potassium release in rice groundnut cropping system</li><li>• It will be helpful in understanding the association of clay or silt clay to different forms of K.</li><li>• The manuscript will also be helpful in understanding the kinetic of K release</li></ul>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is suitable	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract provided in the manuscript is comprehensive however, the key words provided should preferably in alphabetical order	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically correct. However, the statistical analysis done can be improved.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The number of references is slightly less and the references should be of recent in nature	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The English provided in the manuscript has room for improvement.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript could be improved with inclusion of more number of references. The result section can be improved with the elaborate explanation of the findings.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Longjam Boris Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Thoubal, India</b>