

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_127769
Title of the Manuscript:	Kinetics of non-exchangeable potassium release in rice-groundnut cropping system in alluvial soils of Odisha, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study examines the release kinetics of non-exchangeable potassium (K) in rice-groundnut cropping systems cultivated in alluvial soils. It highlights the patterns of K availability and depletion, emphasizing the need for balanced nutrient management to sustain soil fertility and crop productivity in such systems.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Kinetics of non-exchangeable potassium release in rice-groundnut cropping system under alluvial soils of Odisha, India	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	That is sufficient.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not set in same format and some references are very old, so please check this.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	That's fine	
Optional/General comments	In this manuscript are found many numbers of minor corrections. I have reviewed the paper in the same word file and I have requested that you kindly incorporate the correction. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepak Kumar
Department, University & Country	Eternal University, India