

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126919
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING MICROGRAVITY'S EFFECT ON SEED GERMINATION AND GROWTH OF PLANTS
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript encompasses diverse subtopics about plant growth. However, it has no particular pattern. The write-up is mostly full of plagiarized texts of diverse researcher's work. There was not really something new the author(s) put into the write-up. Only put together other researchers texts in the form of mosaic plagiarism (paraphragiarism or patchwriting) especially the work of the research of Oluwafemi. Diverse text of this researcher was used and only one reference of the researcher was made.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should be changed to: EXPLORING MICROGRAVITY'S EFFECT ON SEED GERMINATION AND GROWTH OF PLANTS: THE REVIEW	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should not have any paragraph. It should be a block.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The sub-sections are not too appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript may look scientifically robust. Just paraphrased the whole paragraphs or pages of other researchers put them together in sub-topics for this new publication.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references are not sufficient. Diverse published work of researcher Oluwafemi was used and she was only credited on just one in the reference section. This unethical writing. The source of figure 1 was not cited as well. Some places where references were mentioned, either they are wrongly cited (e.g. no reference like Oluwafemi and Oluwafemi, 2017), Oluwafemi and Ibraheem cited intext was not in the reference list. The below reference was not well cited. It is: United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs Office for Outer Space Affairs. 2013. Teacher's Guide to Plant Experiments in	

Review Form 3

	Microgravity. Human Space Technology Initiative. United Nations, 56p. Available: ZGIP (unoosa.org). [11 Sept 2023].	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is an unethical writing. I therefore advise against publishing it.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Funmilola Oluwafemi
Department, University & Country	Nigeria