

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_127617
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON THE PREVALENCE OF INGUINAL HERNIA AT KELUARGA SEHAT HOSPITAL PATI IN 2023
Type of the Article	Descriptive observational study, original, retrospective (as mentioned by the author in this manuscript)

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Inguinal hernia (IH) is the most commonly performing surgical procedure worldwide. There are many articles throughout the world regarding the incidence / prevalence of IH. As author is mentioned in his manuscript that this article will be helpful for planning of the health facility and for public health awareness in his area. Considering these importance's this manuscript can be considered for reviewing / publishing.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	SUITABLE	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	ACCEPTABLE	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically it is well known topic that the inguinal hernia is the most commonly doing surgery in all parts of the world. Different parts of the world are showing different prevalence rate with wide range of difference from 4% to 25% (Abebe, Melese Shenkut et al. "Worldwide magnitude of inguinal hernia: Systematic review and meta-analysis of population-based studies." SAGE open medicine vol. 10 20503121221139150. 22 Nov. 2022, doi:10.1177/20503121221139150). While considering the author's statement in his manuscript about importance to planning of health facility, improve in surgical care and to address the public regarding the importance of inguinal hernia, this manuscript may be accepted as important one. Further, this article can be used for metaanalysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>Number of references are sufficient are recent ones.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ There are many references as mentioned by the author in this manuscript looks at INAPPROPRIATE SITE. viz., Ref [4] to Ref [15] This need to be corrected. ❖ IRRELEVANT reference article: Ref (13)A. Heiran, S. P. Azarchehry, S. Dehghankhalili, M. Afarid, S. Shaabani, and A. Mirahmadizadeh, "Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in the Eastern Mediterranean Region: a systematic review and meta-analysis," <i>J. Int. Med. Res.</i>, vol. 50, no. 10, p. 03000605221117134, 2022. Ref (14) Z. Mohamed, M. Al-Natour, and H. Al Rahbi, "Prevalence of Diabetic Retinopathy Among Individuals with Diabetes in Gulf Cooperation Council countries: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis," <i>Oman Med. J.</i>, vol. 39, no. 1, p. e585, 2024. ❖ primary abscess: what is the meaning of 'primary abscess' ❖ others: this word is non-specific when explaining type of occuoation. 	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality to be improved for scholarly documentation and communication.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Even though the topic is older one, this manuscript can be considered for its public awareness interest and health facility planning. 2. There are many flaws in citing the references 3. Tables / charts are insufficient 4. There are no data on complicated inguinal hernias in this study. 5. Authors mentioned named many type of occupation of the subjects. This can be minimised by categorizing the occupations as laborious or nonlaborious. Authors mentioned one of the occupations as 'others' which is totally nonspecific. 6. Marriage doesn't look any relevant for hernia incidence / prevalence. 7. Conclusion is not appropriate. The title of the manuscript is written as 'prevalence of inguinal hernia', but author nowhere mentioned actual prevalence of the inguinal hernia with respect to his public of interest. He has mentioned about age and sex distribution only. No words are mentioned regarding the complications of inguinal hernia. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramalingeshwara Kantly
Department, University & Country	RGUHS, India