

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_127122
Title of the Manuscript:	Vaginal ecological profile and characteristic of vaginal infection of the genital tract of pregnant women followed at the laboratory of the Inter-Army Medical Center Senegal.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Gynecological health is quite important in the global context. Pregnant females should be aware of the different vaginal infections and hence the manuscript is important for the scientific community. Hence, the concept is good.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please check the abstract for grammatical errors.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The identification of the different microorganisms residing in the vagina of the pregnant females was done in this research work. The parameters were categorized for the various infections such as Anaerobic vaginitis, Trichomonas vaginalis, and Vaginal Candidiasis. The characterizations performed are technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent and sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments	The English quality is suitable for the scholarly communications.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Abstract: Check for grammar and repetition of word Introduction: Check for proper spaces and what is the use of term (ITM)? Methodology: Check for spelling errors and grammar. Result: Table II, V, VI- p-value to write with decimal point, Table IV, VI- Wite the disease name properly in the table as the table caption vary from table content in context of disease names. Discussion: Superscription is required to denote the number of bacteria and for gram denote only "g". Somewhere the name of the author is written which is not correct (Rita Verhelst [9]). Follow the guidelines of the journal. In the discussion part, the entire reference is added which is needed to add in the reference list. The citation style is not uniform and the format in the reference list is also not uniform. Make sure that only one type of style is followed throughout the manuscript. Also, please check the proper numbering along with the citation number throughout the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rita N. Wadetwar
Department, University & Country	Rashtrasant Tukadoji Maharaj Nagpur University, India