

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_127122
Title of the Manuscript:	Vaginal ecological profile and characteristic of vaginal infection of the genital tract of pregnant women followed at the laboratory of the Inter-Army Medical Center Senegal.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It's a very innovative approach which mainly focuses on the existing vaginal microbiota and their impact on causing bacterial infections in pregnant women.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes everything is appropriate. All the subsections are relevant.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust as the entire research has been conducted originally. And the topic is very much relevant to the current scenario where there is a lot of increase in the bacterial infections as this manuscript mainly focuses on the existing microbiome within the vagina and their impact on causing the bacterial infections. This would definitely be helpful in identifying the causative organisms which cause infection in pregnant women.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes the references are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments	The grammar in the manuscript needs to be improvised a little.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aparna Matpathi
Department, University & Country	CMR College of Pharmacy, India