

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_127500
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges in Construction Technology During Project Implementation
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What does construction technology mean? the term can take on multiple definitions. - Issues, challenges. The projects are all unique and complex. If you can apply a correct methodology that fits your necessities then you can better manage your project risks. - Project management institute has a methodology that is based on 5 processes, the initiation and planning process is the area where you evaluate your initial risk (weather, Ramadan, skills, resources. etc.) - It is important to know the different issues or challenges that affect the world, and as such I agree with this topic. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The research objectives do not match the tittle, because this is a particular case in the Kingdom Bahrain, and the word technology is not the main focus of this research, instead the focus is on the issues or challenges, in that sense "Challenges encountered by the construction consultancy company in the Kingdom Bahraim" would be a better fit	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	What does technology management mean?, are ETABS or AutoCad, appropriate technology elements in the year 2024?	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are properly established	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This research is similar to a project review, with multiple issues in different stages of the project. PMI (2014) explains how complex projects are associated to human behaviour, system behaviour and ambiguity, a correct risk management practice, procurement management system, etc. This is a methodology that can better suit your project necessities. - On the other hand, this is the reality of this country and it is important to research the causes of the poor performance of the projects and learn from it to better evolve the cycles in each stage of such projects. - This is a particular case. Research talking about this particular case is not seen a lot, and in such qualitative research it is likely you will need more studies. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It could use more profound information so it can better do its job as a qualitative research	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, it is understandable and has a good vocabulary that suits its purpose.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cristians Yonel Diaz
Department, University & Country	"San Luis Gonzaga University", Peru