

# Effect of maleic hydrazide foliar application on biochemical parameters and phytohormonal variations in groundnut (cv. Dh 86).

## ABSTRACT

This study assessed the impact of varying concentrations of maleic hydrazide (MH) on seed biochemical parameters in groundnut. Where increasing MH concentrations resulted with least total dehydrogenase activity (0.505 OD value at A<sub>480</sub>) and electrical conductivity (200  $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ ), and increased phenol content (3.48 mg/g) at foliar spray of MH @ 3000 ppm over the control (0.822 OD value at A<sub>480</sub>, 257  $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ , 2.80 mg/g) respectively. Further this study examines the impact of MH foliar spray at 3000 ppm, unsprayed control and a dormant check (Dh 8) on groundnut seed phytohormones, comparing results to an unsprayed control. Abscisic acid (ABA) levels increased from 15.6 ng/g in unsprayed control to 45.96 ng/g in MH treated seeds and 74.58 ng/g in Dh 8, promoting dormancy. Gibberellins (GA<sub>3</sub>) reduced by 98.7% in MH treated seeds (0.88 ng/g) and by 99.2% in Dh 8 (0.54 ng/g). Auxins (IAA) decreased 86.8% in MH treated seeds (1.15 ng/g) and 22.9% in Dh 8 (6.70 ng/g) relative to unsprayed control (8.71 ng/g). These shifts highlight the distinctive effects of MH and dormant cultivar Dh 8 on dormancy regulation compared to control.

**Key words:** Maleic hydrazide, Phytohormones, Phenol content

---

---

*\* Part of the doctoral research work College of Agriculture, Dharwad, UAS, Dharwad –580 005, Karnataka, India.*

## INRODUCTION

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*) is a significant crop globally, valued for its both oilseed and food uses. It is known as the "king of oilseed crops" and it is believed to have been initially domesticated and cultivated in the Paraguay Valley. It was introduced to India during the first half of the sixteenth century.

Groundnut is an important oil seed crop in India, with a significant area under cultivation and production. In world India ranks first in terms of groundnut acreage, with an area of 49.61 lakh ha, and second in production, with 102.97 lakh tonnes with productivity of 2.075 kg/ha. The major groundnut growing states in India are Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Rajasthan, Maharashtra, and Karnataka, which contribute to 90 per cent of the total groundnut area in the country (Anon, 2023).

Understanding the biochemical basis of seed dormancy is essential, as it is largely governed by hormonal regulation that involves a precise balance between inhibitory and stimulatory compounds within the seed (Khan, 1977). Gibberellins (GAs) are key promoters of germination, while hormones like cytokinins and ethylene also play significant roles in managing dormancy and germination (Whitehead & Nelson, 1992). Moreover, phenolic compounds contribute to dormancy by inhibiting germination through their impact on cell elongation (Bewley & Black, 1982). Enzymatic activity, which tends to be lower in dormant seeds and higher in non-dormant seeds, further influences the progression of germination (Barros *et al.*, 2014).

Ethylene acts as an antagonist to ABA during seed development, influencing dormancy induction, while cytokinins, which promote cell division, may play a role in this process during embryogenesis. Cytokinins are also known to break dormancy in seeds of various species, including apple and peanut (Cohn & Butera, 1982). Brassinosteroids (BRs) participate in multiple developmental functions, such as promoting cell elongation, cell division, and supporting pollen development and fertility. Given that a hormone's physiological effects are determined by its endogenous concentrations, precise quantification of endogenous hormone levels is essential. Phytohormone quantification has been carried out in several crops, including lettuce, oat, pea, Arabidopsis, and bitter melon, for studies on dormancy and germination (Tang *et al.*, 2011).

Groundnut is a important rain-fed oilseed crop in India, but its yield is significantly lower compared to the global average. Enhancement of groundnut pods is very important. A major challenge in groundnut cultivation is *in situ* germination, which arises due to a lack of seed dormancy, with some varieties exhibiting lower levels of abscisic acid. In the view of above facts this research investigation was carried out to assess the effect of maleic hydrazide foliar application on biochemical parameters and phytohormonal variations in groundnut.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

During *kharif* 2023 the field experiment was conducted in the All India Coordinated Research Project on Groundnut, Main Agricultural Research Station, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka. The highly sensitive genotype Dh 86 with respect to *in situ* germination was selected for this experiment. Experiment was conducted in randomized complete block design with 11 treatments and three replications. i.e. T<sub>0</sub>: Un sprayed control, T<sub>1</sub>: Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 500 ppm, T<sub>2</sub>: Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 1000 ppm, T<sub>3</sub>: Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 1500 ppm, T<sub>4</sub>: Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 2000 ppm, T<sub>5</sub>: Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 2500 ppm, T<sub>6</sub>: Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 3000 ppm, T<sub>7</sub>: Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 3500 ppm, T<sub>8</sub>: Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 4000 ppm, T<sub>9</sub>: Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 4500 ppm and T<sub>10</sub>: Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 5000 ppm. The spacing between plants and rows were 0.10 m and 0.30m, respectively. Field experiment was carried out in 2023 *Kharif* season in the All India Coordinated Research Project on Groundnut, Main Agricultural Research Station, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka.

In order to prepare a solution of 500 ppm, 1000 ppm, 1500 ppm, 2000 ppm, 2500 ppm, 3000 ppm, 3500 ppm, 4000 ppm, 4500 ppm and 5000 ppm concentrations, 0.5 g, 1.0 g, 1.5 g, 2.0 g, 2.5 g, 3.0g, 3.5 g, 4.0 g, 4.5 g and 5.0 g of the Maleic hydrazide chemical powder was dissolved in 1 litre of distilled water respectively. Then mixture was solubilized by adding KOH pellets with the use of magnetic stirrer. Maleic hydrazide was sprayed at 70 days after sowing except control plot (T<sub>0</sub>). After attaining maturity, the plants were left in the field for 15 days with regular watering for the germination of pods *in situ*. After 15 days, the pods were lifted, and the number of pods sprouted in each treatment was counted.

The total phenolic content (TPC) of groundnut extracts and seeds were determined by spectrophotometric method with Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent, (Arun *et al.*, 2016). The electrical conductivity (EC) of groundnut seeds leachate was determined by as per the procedure developed by anonymous (2015) and expressed in  $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$  and total dehydrogenase activity ( $A_{480}$ ) of the seeds was determined by method described by Perl *et al.* (1978). Selected one *in situ* germination tolerant treatment (Foliar spray of MH @ 3000 ppm), and un sprayed control (Dh 86) was taken along with one dormant check (Dh 8) were subjected for Profiling of phytohormones by LCMS method (Pan *et al.*, 2008). The sample analysis made through outsource (IIHR, Bengaluru).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Total dehydrogenase activity (TDH), an important indicator of seed viability, decreased significantly with increasing maleic hydrazide concentrations. The highest total dehydrogenase activity (0.822) was recorded in the control ( $T_0$ ), while the lowest (0.505) occurred at foliar spray of maleic hydrazide at 3000 ppm ( $T_6$ ). Decreased TDH activity reflects impaired metabolic processes within the seed, particularly those related to energy production and cell division, both of which are crucial during germination. Seed dormancy and germination are intricately regulated by various biochemical factors. Research has shown that naturally occurring inhibitors play a crucial role in preventing seed germination by targeting specific enzymatic activities (Elliott and Leopold, 1953). One key indicator of cellular vitality in seeds is dehydrogenase, a respiratory enzyme whose presence and activity signify living cells (Jain *et al.*, 2013). The relationship between enzyme activity and dormancy is further evidenced by studies showing significantly reduced enzymatic activity in dormant seeds (Barros *et al.*, 2014) and dehydrogenase Activity positively associated with the seed vigour and field emergence (Jyotirmaye *et al.*, 2022).

Electrical Conductivity (EC), which measures seed membrane integrity, decreased with rising concentrations of maleic hydrazide. The lowest electrical conductivity ( $200 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ ) was observed in the foliar spray of maleic hydrazide at 3000 ppm ( $T_6$ ), compared to  $257 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$  in the un sprayed control. A decrease in electrical conductivity suggests decreased membrane permeability. Electrical conductivity is closely linked to ionic concentration. Increased solute leakage, reflected by higher electrical conductivity in seed leachate, indicates greater membrane permeability. This is often associated with reduced seed vigour, poor field emergence, and diminished

storability in many crops (Presley, 1958). These findings are in line with results obtained by Jyotirmoyee *et al* (2022) in groundnut.

Phenol content in seeds exhibited an increasing trend with higher maleic hydrazide concentrations, reaching a peak at 3.48 mg/g in the foliar spray of maleic hydrazide at 3000 ppm (T<sub>6</sub>) as compared to control (2.80 ng/gm). Application of plant growth retardants (PGRs) can modify plant metabolism, either suppressing or enhancing the production of plant secondary metabolites (Lemanowicz *et al.*, 2023). Phenolic compounds are known for their role in plant defense mechanisms (Kumar, 2022) and their increase in response to maleic hydrazide application likely indicates a stress response. Application of maleic hydrazide leads to upregulation of key genes related to and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (Phukan *et al.* 2016). Saini (2024) reviewed that phenolic compounds accumulate in plants under abiotic stress, acting as antioxidants to mitigate damage from reactive oxygen species (ROS).

Phytohormones present in the seed play a crucial role in the induction of seed dormancy. We analyzed different classes of phytohormones such as auxins, gibberellins, abscisic acid, cytokinins, and defense hormones (salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, and cis-jasmonate) to understand their levels and contribution in promoting dormancy and inhibiting germination. This was done by selecting the best treatment of a foliar spray of maleic hydrazide (MH) at 3000 ppm (T<sub>1</sub>) and comparing it to Dh 8 (Dormant Check, T<sub>2</sub>) and the control (T<sub>0</sub>).

The plant hormone abscisic acid (ABA) plays an important role in regulating seed dormancy and germination. ABA biosynthesis is required for the induction of primary dormancy during seed development and in general it is an inhibitor of germination (Bewley *et al.*, 2013). Unsprayed (control) showed lower level of ABA (15.60 ng/gm) but in foliar spray of maleic hydrazide (MH) at 3000 ppm and in the dormant check Dh 8 ABA level (45.96 ng/gm & 74.58 ng/gm) increased by 2.94 and 4.78 folds respectively. Interestingly experimental results in the present study shows decreased germination percent in foliar spray of maleic hydrazide (MH) at 3000 ppm and in dormant check Dh 8. This was mainly due to the increased ABA content. Similar findings were found that the expression of some key genes of ABA signaling pathway and ABA response was up-regulated after MH treatment in *S. polyrrhiza* (Zhu *et al.*, 2021).

Gibberellic acids (GA) are plant growth hormones and have positive effects on seed dormancy release and germination, stem elongation, flowering initiation, and flower and fruit development. Among gibberellic acid class of hormones, GA<sub>3</sub> showed decreasing trend *i.e.*, it was decreased by 0.01 folds in foliar spray of MH at 3000 ppm (0.88 ng/gm), whereas in dormant cultivar Dh 8 (0.54 ng/gm) showed drastic changes in GA<sub>3</sub> content and it was decreased by 0.008 folds compared to control (70.52 ng/gm). The biosynthesis of bioactive gibberellins (GAs) occurs in two main phases. Early steps are catalyzed by enzymes like ent-copalyl diphosphate synthase (CPS), ent-kaurene synthase (KS), ent-kaurene oxidase (KO), and ent-kaurenoic acid oxidase (KAO). These enzymes convert precursor molecules into intermediates in the GA pathway. The later steps involve enzymes such as GA<sub>2</sub> oxidase (GA<sub>2</sub>ox), GA<sub>20</sub> oxidase (GA<sub>20</sub>ox), and GA<sub>3</sub> oxidase (GA<sub>3</sub>ox), which belong to the 2-oxoglutarate-dependent Fe (II) oxygenase superfamily. These enzymes are encoded by different gene families. Their activity is regulated by developmental and environmental cues. The later-stage enzymes play crucial but opposing roles in the regulation of bioactive GA levels, affecting plant growth and development (Hedden and Phillips, 2000). upregulation of GA<sub>20</sub>ox and GA<sub>3</sub>ox increases the GA level, whereas higher expression of GA<sub>2</sub>ox decreases the GA level (Schomburg *et al.* 2003; Lo *et al.* 2008). Whereas Two homologs of Arabidopsis GA<sub>2</sub>ox enzymes were upregulated in response to MH-treatment in our Axillary bud dataset, which lowers the concentration of GA and inhibits Axillary bud development (Singh *et al.*,2020).

The key role of auxin is a signaling molecule that coordinates seed life. Auxin is involved in the development of the endosperm, seed coat and essential compound involved in integuments development. Recent biochemical and genetical evidence supports the involvement of auxins in Physiological dormancy of seeds and complement the role of ABA (Shashi *et al.*, 2003) in seed germination. However, in groundnut seed it was found that 3- indole acetic acid (IAA) content decreased by 0.13 and 0.77 folds in foliar spray of MH at 3000 ppm (1.15 ng/gm) and dormant cultivar Dh 8 (6.70 ng/gm) as compared to control (8.71 ng/gm). The Indole-3-Butyric Acid (IBA) levels followed a similar pattern. The similar trend was observed in pea seeds were MH acts as an anti-auxin by inhibiting cell elongation and division, which are primary effects of auxin activity. Auxins, such as indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), promote cell elongation, root growth, and apical dominance in plants. MH counteracts these processes by interfering with

auxin-regulated growth, thus inhibiting plant development (Leopold and Klein, 1952). MH acts as an anti-auxin or a regulator of auxin metabolism (Hoffman and Parups, 1964).

Cytokinins are the major plant hormones that regulate numerous aspects of plant growth and development, such as cell division, apical dominance, root formation, stomatal behaviour, and chloroplast development. But in seeds also they are involved in promotion of germination and release of seed dormancy by acting antagonistically to ABA action. Among the different cytokinin studied benzyl aminopurine and zeatin trans isomer recorded significant increase in their levels in both the treatments. Level of benzyl aminopurine was significantly (0.66 and 0.28 folds) lower in foliar spray of MH at 3000 ppm (1.46 ng/g) and dormant cultivar Dh 8 (0.60 ng/g) as compared to control (2.20 ng/g). The similar trend was observed in zeatin trans isomer but with respect to trans zeatin riboside dormant cultivar Dh 8 showed non significant as compared to control. As the level of cytokinin increased it promoted seed germination, it means cytokinin content increased from dry seeds to germinating seeds (Bicalho *et al.*, 2015, Wang *et al.*, 2019) in palm seeds. The foliar application of maleic hydrazide may have caused an increase in ABA levels and a decrease in GA content, leading to variations in cytokinin accumulation. The similar findings were found in tobacco that Application of maleic hydrazide inhibits bud growth by suppressing the expression of key Transcription factors in meristem development and by lowering cytokinin biosynthesis through altering expression of STM-like KNOX transcription factors (Singh *et al.*, 2020).

Defense hormones such as salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA), cis-jasmonate and methyl jasmonate showed differential response among the treatments. Salicylic acid levels were lower in foliar spray of maleic hydrazide (MH) at 3000 ppm (13509.49 ng/g) and dormant cultivar Dh 8 (11887.45 ng/g) as compared to control (14523.56 ng/g) and these treatments were decreased by 0.93 and 0.82 folds respectively as compared to control. From the observation we can conclude positive relation between salicylic acid and gibberellic acid which decreased after MH treatment. Because SA levels were low in MH treated and in dormant check Dh 8. Interestingly Jasmonic acid (JA) levels were increased in both the treatments by 1.14 and 1.08 folds compared to control. In addition, Previous studies in Arabidopsis suggest that WRKY50 and WRKY51 act as positive regulators of salicylic acid (SA) mediated signaling and negative regulators of JA signaling with respect to seed germination (Gao *et al.* 2011). But these signaling pathways are altered by MH treatment in Tobacco

which decreases the SA content and increases the JA content (Singh *et al.*,2020). JA is having negative role in induction of germination and some reports supported that JA inhibit hypocotyl elongation and seedling growth in Arabidopsis (Chen *et al.*, 2013).

Cis- jasmone which is a defensive plant hormone it increased significantly in both the treatments. There is no much information available regarding role of cis- jasmone in regulating seed germination and dormancy. However, some findings suggested that its level will be higher when plants get damaged by insect pest (Birkett *et al.*, 2000). So, under stress condition cis jasmone level will be increased. Methyl jasmonate levels also decreased significantly in foliar spray of MH at 3000 ppm (0.79 ng/g) and increased in the dormant cultivar Dh 8 seeds (1.68 ng/g) compared to control (1.09 ng/g). This observation suggests that methyl jasmonate having negative relation with dormancy release and germination so methyl jasmonate content was decreased in MH Sprayed treatment compared to control and these observations are supported by Staswick *et al.* (1992) in Arabidopsis wherein inhibition of primary root growth was observed.

In Epibrasinolide and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) showed significant relation with control, foliar spray of MH at 3000 ppm and Dh 8 (Dormant Check) and we couldn't find any role regarding these two hormones in this study.

## CONCLUSION

The study demonstrates that foliar application of maleic hydrazide (MH) at 3000 ppm significantly impacts the biochemical and phytohormonal profiles of groundnut seeds (cv. Dh 86), promoting seed dormancy. Increased phenol content and reduced total dehydrogenase activity and electrical conductivity highlight the physiological changes induced by MH. Additionally, MH treatment elevated abscisic acid (ABA) levels while significantly reducing gibberellin (GA<sub>3</sub>) and indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) levels, aligning with enhanced dormancy regulation. The dormant check (Dh 8) exhibited a similar hormonal trend, with even higher ABA and lower GA<sub>3</sub> levels compared to MH treatment, indicating its inherent dormancy-promoting attributes. These findings underscore the efficacy of MH as a dormancy-inducing agent and provide valuable insights into its potential application in managing seed dormancy and germination in groundnut.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Table 1. Effect of maleic hydrazide foliar application on seed biochemical parameters in groundnut (cv. Dh 86)**

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>IG (%)</b>	<b>TDH Activity (OD value at A<sub>480</sub>)</b>	<b>EC (<math>\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}</math>)</b>	<b>Phenol (mg/g)</b>
T <sub>0</sub> : Un sprayed control	55.99 (48.42)	0.822	257	2.80
T <sub>1</sub> : Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 500 ppm	36.01 (36.86)	0.763	255	2.84
T <sub>2</sub> : Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 1000 ppm	32.30 (34.62)	0.681	247	2.87
T <sub>3</sub> : Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 1500 ppm	29.67 (32.99)	0.633	246	2.91
T <sub>4</sub> : Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 2000 ppm	29.41(32.82)	0.604	243	3.12
T <sub>5</sub> : Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 2500 ppm	26.92 (31.24)	0.584	224	3.31
T <sub>6</sub> : Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 3000 ppm	24.64 (29.75)	0.505	200	3.48
T <sub>7</sub> : Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 3500 ppm	25.02 (30.00)	0.510	221	3.46
T <sub>8</sub> : Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 4000 ppm	25.60 (30.38)	0.520	227	3.44
T <sub>9</sub> : Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 4500 ppm	25.10 (30.07)	0.526	223	3.45
T <sub>10</sub> : Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 5000 ppm	25.65 (30.47)	0.518	220	3.43
<b>Mean</b>	<b>30.57</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>3.19</b>
<b>S.E.m <math>\pm</math></b>	<b>0.941</b>	<b>0.012</b>	<b>4.034</b>	<b>0.066</b>
<b>CD @ 1%</b>	<b>2.777</b>	<b>0.049</b>	<b>16.081</b>	<b>0.261</b>
<b>CV</b>	<b>15.99</b>	<b>3.501</b>	<b>2.995</b>	<b>3.557</b>

\*Figures in the parentheses are arcsine transformed values.

**TDH** : Total dehydrogenase

**EC** : Electrical Conductivity

**IG** : *in situ* germination

**Table 2. Effect of foliar spray of maleic hydrazide on phytohormonal variation in groundnut**

Treatments	ng/g of tissue (mean)	Percent change over control	Fold change	SD	t-stat.	SEM	P (T<=t) Two tail
<b>Abscisic Acid (ABA)</b>							
T <sub>0</sub>	15.60			0.25		0.147	
T <sub>1</sub>	45.96	194.30	2.94	1.98	26.4	1.149	0.00005*
T <sub>2</sub>	74.58	378.40	4.78	0.11	373.8	0.065	0.00001*
<b>Gibberellic Acid 7 (GA<sub>7</sub>)</b>							
T <sub>0</sub>	0.11			0.007		0.004	
T <sub>1</sub>	0.10	-13.8	0.86	0.007	2.81	0.004	0.05*
T <sub>2</sub>	0.12	+6.00	1.06	0.02	0.63	0.01	0.57
<b>Gibberellic Acid 4 (GA<sub>4</sub>)</b>							
T <sub>0</sub>	121.08			1.92		1.11	
T <sub>1</sub>	79.77	-34.10	0.66	2.68	-21.70	1.55	0.0005*
T <sub>2</sub>	45.23	-62.70	0.37	0.47	-66.60	0.27	0.0005*
<b>Gibberellic Acid 3 (GA<sub>3</sub>)</b>							
T <sub>0</sub>	70.52			1.08		0.62	
T <sub>1</sub>	0.88	-98.7	0.01	0.005	-111.96	0.003	0.00*
T <sub>2</sub>	0.54	-99.2	0.008	0.04	-112.05	0.020	0.00*
<b>3-Indole Acetic Acid (IAA)</b>							
T <sub>0</sub>	8.71			0.05		0.03	
T <sub>1</sub>	1.15	-86.8	0.13	0.10	-105.9	0.06	0.00*
T <sub>2</sub>	6.70	-22.9	0.77	0.20	-16.9	0.12	0.00*
<b>3-Indole Butyric Acid (IBA)</b>							
T <sub>0</sub>	1.05			0.09		0.06	
T <sub>1</sub>	0.78	-26.1	0.74	0.05	-4.53	0.03	0.015*
T <sub>2</sub>	0.89	-15.8	0.84	0.04	-2.81	0.02	0.049*
<b>Benzyl aminopurine (BA)</b>							
T <sub>0</sub>	2.20			0.09		0.06	
T <sub>1</sub>	1.46	-33.8	0.66	0.09	-9.48	0.06	0.0001*
T <sub>2</sub>	0.60	-72.6	0.28	0.05	-26.20	0.03	0.0001*
<b>Zeatin trans isomer (ZTI)</b>							
T <sub>0</sub>	1.17			0.04		0.02	
T <sub>1</sub>	0.75	-36.0	0.64	0.04	-13.56	0.02	0.00*
T <sub>2</sub>	0.74	-36.9	0.63	0.04	-13.94	0.02	0.00*

*Contd...*

Treatments	ng/g of tissue (mean)	Percent change over control	Fold change	SD	t-stat.	SEM	P(T<=t) Two tail
<b>Trans zeatin Riboside (TZR)</b>							
<b>T<sub>0</sub></b>	27.00			0.69		0.39	
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	26.15	-3.20	0.96	0.75	-1.45	0.43	0.21
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	15.71	-41.9	0.58	0.12	-28.04	0.07	0.00*
<b>Salicylic acid (SA)</b>							
<b>T<sub>0</sub></b>	14523.56			239.23		138.41	
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	13509.49	-6.99	0.93	256.99	-13.54	148.68	0.0001*
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	11887.45	-18.12	0.82	144.69	-16.34	83.57	0.0001*
<b>Jasmonic Acid (JA)</b>							
<b>T<sub>0</sub></b>	0.20			0.01		0.007	
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	0.23	13.6	1.14	0.04	1.22	0.02	0.28
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	0.22	8.40	1.08	0.01	1.81	0.006	0.12
<b>Cis-Jasmone (CJ)</b>							
<b>T<sub>0</sub></b>	189.13			1.64		0.95	
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	1139.66	502.10	6.02	2.95	487.34	1.71	0.00*
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	698.91	269.4	3.69	4.17	197.77	2.41	0.00*
<b>Methyl Jasmonate (MJ)</b>							
<b>T<sub>0</sub></b>	1.09			0.043		0.03	
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	0.79	-27.4	0.73	0.02	-10.71	0.012	0.00001*
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	1.68	53.8	1.54	0.03	18.44	0.02	0.00*
<b>1-AminoCyclopropane-1-Carboxylicacid (ACC)</b>							
<b>T<sub>0</sub></b>	2.94			0.05		0.03	
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	1.37	-53.40	0.47	0.04	-39.29	0.02	0.00*
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	1.46	-50.50	0.49	0.05	-37.13	0.02	0.00*
<b>Epibrasinolide (EPIBRA)</b>							
<b>T<sub>0</sub></b>	34.00			1.79		1.03	
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	15.92	-53.30	0.47	0.94	-15.50	0.54	0.00013*
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	27.67	-18.7	0.81	1.84	-4.28	1.06	0.0132*

In each test group [T<sub>0</sub>: Unsprayed control, T<sub>1</sub>: Foliar spray of maleic hydrazide @ 3000 ppm and T<sub>2</sub> : Dh 8 (Dormant Check)] in each replicate and such replicates were subjected to an independent variable 'T' test analysis. \*Represents the significant (P ≤ 0.05) difference between the control and treatment.

## **Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)**

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

## **References**

- Anonymous, 2015, International Rules for Seed Testing (ISTA). *Seed Science and Technology*, 24:1-335
- Anonymous, 2023, FAOSTAT, *Food and Agricultural Organization* of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Arun S, Deepakraj S, Vadive V and Brindha P, 2016, Recovery of polyphenols from the pods of green gram and black gram and evaluation of their antioxidant activity. *International Journal of Chemical Sciences*, 14(4): 2658-2672.
- Barros R, Pinheiro F, Pelacani C, Pereira T and Ribeiro D, 2014, Response of 1- Amino cyclopropane-1-Carboxylate oxidase activity to selenium in dormant *Stylosanthes humilis* seeds. *Seed Technology*, 36(2): 123-138.
- Bewley J D and Black M, 1982, Physiology and biochemistry of seeds-viability, dormancy and environmental control. *Springs Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York*, 2.
- Bewley J D, Bradford K J, Hilhorst H W and Nonogaki H, 2013, Seeds: physiology of development, germination and dormancy. *Springer*.
- Bicalho E M, Pinto-Marijuan M, Morales M, Muller M, Munne-Bosch S and Garcia Q S, 2015, Control of macaw palm seed germination by the gibberellin/abscisic acid balance. *Plant Biology*, 17(5): 990-996.
- Birkett M A, Campbell C A, Chamberlain K, Guerrieri E, Hick A J, Martin J L, Matthes M, Napier J A, Pettersson J, Pickett J A and Poppy G M, 2000, New roles for cis-jasmone as an insect semiochemical and in plant defense. *The Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 97(16): 9329–9334.

- Chen J, Sonobe K, Ogawa N M S, Nagatani A, Kobayashi Y and Ohta H, 2013, Inhibition of Arabidopsis hypocotyl elongation by jasmonates is enhanced under red light in phytochrome B dependent manner. *Journal of plant research*, 126(1): 161-168.
- Cohn M A and Butera D L, 1982, Seed dormancy in red rice (*Oryza sativa*) response to cytokinins, *Weed Science*, 30(2): 200-205.
- Elliott B B and Leopold A C, 1953, An inhibitor of germination and of amylase activity in oat seeds. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 6(1).
- Gao Q M, Venugopal S, Navarre D, Kachroo A, 2011, Low oleic acid derived repression of jasmonic acid-inducible defense responses requires the WRKY50 and WRKY51 proteins. *Plant Physiol*, 155(1): 464–476.
- Hedden P and Phillips A L, 2000, Gibberellin metabolism: new insights revealed by the genes. *Trends Plant Sci*, 5(12): 523–530.
- Hoffman I and Parups E V, 1964, Mode of action of maleic hydrazide in relation to residues in crops and soils,” in *Residue Reviews, Residues of Pesticides and Other Foreign Chemicals in Foods and Feeds*, (7): 74–96.
- Jain P, Bharadwaj M and Bakshi J, 2013, Comparative study of enzyme dehydrogenase activity in *Cicer arietinum* and *Arachis hypogea*. *Recent Research in Seed Science and Technology*, 5: 16-17.
- Jyotirmayee M, Mohapatra P D and Bhuyan S S, 2022, Effect of foliar spray of maleic hydrazide on biochemical traits of groundnut seeds. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(11): 547-552.
- Khan A A, 1977, The Physiology and Biochemical of Seed Germination. *North- Holland Publication Company. Amersterdam*, pp. 26.
- Kumar S, Abedin M M, Singh A K and Das S, 2022, Role of phenolic compounds in plant-defensive mechanisms. *Plant Phenolics in Sustainable Agriculture*, 1: 517-532.
- Lemanowicz J, Dębska B, Lamparski R, Michalska A, Pobereźny J, Wszelaczyńska E, Bartkowiak A, Szczepanek M, Banach-Szott M and Knapowski T, 2023, Influence of plant growth retardants and nitrogen doses on the content of plant secondary

metabolites in wheat, the presence of pests, and soil quality parameters. *Agriculture*, 13(6): 1121.

Leopold A C and Klein W H, 1952, Maleic hydrazide as an anti-auxin. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 5(1).

Lo S F, Yang S Y, Chen K T, Hsing Y I, Zeevaart J A D, Chen L J and Yu S M, 2008, A novel class of gibberellin 2-oxidases control semidwarfism, tillering, and root development in rice. *Plant Cell*, 20(10): 2603–2618

Pan X, Welti R and Wang X, 2008, Simultaneous quantification of major phytohormones and related compounds in crude plant extracts by liquid chromatography-electrospray tandem mass spectrometry. *Phytochem.*, 69(8): 1773-1781.

Perl M L, Luria and Gelmond H, 1978, Biochemical changes in sorghum seeds affected by accelerated ageing. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 29:497-509.

Phukan U J, Jeena G S, Shukla R K, 2016, WRKY Transcription factors: molecular regulation and stress responses in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7:760.

Presley J T, 1958, Relation of protoplast permeability to cotton seed viability and predisposition to seedling disease. *Plant Disease Reporter*, 42 (7): 852.

Saini N, Anmol A, Kumar S, Bakshi M and Dhiman Z, 2024, Exploring phenolic compounds as natural stress alleviators in plants-a comprehensive review. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, 102383.

Schomburg F M, Bizzell C M, Lee D J, Zeevaart J A D and Amasino R M, 2003, Overexpression of a novel class of gibberellin 2-oxidases decreases gibberellin levels and creates dwarf plants. *Plant Cell*, 15(1):151–163.

Shashi R, Mohammed G and Gary M P, 2003, Relationship of indoleacetic acid and tryptophan to dormancy and preharvest sprouting of wheat. *Functional Plant Biology*, 30(9): 939-945.

- Singh S K, Richmond M D, Pearce R C, Bailey W A, Hou X, Pattanaik S and Yuan L, 2020, Maleic hydrazide elicits global transcriptomic changes in chemically topped tobacco to influence shoot bud development. *Planta*, 252:1-17.
- Staswick P E, Su W and Howell S H, 1992, Methyl jasmonate inhibition of root growth and induction of a leaf protein are decreased in an *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutant. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 89(15): 6837-6840.
- Tang Y, Liu J, Li J, Li X M, Liu B and Li X H, 2011, The influence of endogenous hormones on the formation of buds from stems of bitter melon (*Momordica charantia* L.). *African journal of biotechnology*, 10(31): 5856-5860.
- Wang Y, Htwe Y M, Li J, Shi P, Zhang D, Zhao Z and Ihase L O, 2019, Integrative omics analysis on phytohormones involved in oil palm seed germination. *BMC Plant Biology*, 19(363): 1-14.
- Whitehead C S and Nelson R M, 1992, Ethylene sensitivity in germinating peanut seeds: the effect of short-chain saturated fatty acids. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 139(4): 479-483.
- Zhu Y, Li X, Gao X, Sun J, Ji X, Feng G, Shen G, Xiang B and Wang Y, 2021, Molecular mechanism underlying the effect of maleic hydrazide treatment on starch accumulation in *S. polyrrhiza* 7498 fronds. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 14:1-14.