

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_127620
Title of the Manuscript:	URIC ACID TESTING PRACTICES IN LEBDOSARI HEALTH CENTER: PATTERNS AND CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR OUTPATIENT MANAGEMENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study should have been conducted countrywide where all the patterns of hyperuricemia would be considered. The study was conducted on a very small sample size which couldn't generalize the results to the population of Indonesia.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The suitable title of this research can be: Prevalence of Hyperuricemia and its associated factors among Gout Patients in Indonesia: A cross-sectional survey	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In order to make the abstract comprehensive following points are required to be added by the author: 1- difference between gout and hyperuricemia 2- clear description of the objective 3- the sample size 4- what test was done to determine the levels of uric acid? 5- how data was analysed?	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Noman-ul-Haq
Department, University & Country	University of Balochistan, Pakistan