

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_127620
Title of the Manuscript:	URIC ACID TESTING PRACTICES IN LEBDOSARI HEALTH CENTER: PATTERNS AND CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR OUTPATIENT MANAGEMENT
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>1. The researcher mentioned when collecting the samples that the number of male patients is less than the number of female patients, so the number of males and females should be equal.</p> <p>2. I think it is necessary to take a large number of patients, at least 150 patients</p> <p>3. Why are there no healthy people in the research so that the results can be compared between patients and healthy people</p> <p>4. For more information, see the following research https://pdf.sciencedirectassets.com/272179/1-s2.0-S156757</p> <p>5. The manuscript contains a discussion result for uric acid levels in outpatients based on gender and uric acid levels in outpatients based on age, these two paragraphs need a figure and not just tables</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	the manuscript is scientifically,correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	the references are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alea Farhan Salman
Department, University & Country	Mustansiriyah University, Iraq