

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_127780
Title of the Manuscript:	Therapeutic Applications and Multifaceted Benefits of Peppermint Oil in Modern Medicine: Mini-Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ This manuscript provides a comprehensive overview of the diverse applications of peppermint oil, making it highly valuable for readers and researchers focused on specific disease conditions or the extraction of peppermint oil. ✓ The mini-review offers detailed and accessible information about the properties and uses of peppermint oil, allowing readers to easily understand its benefits and applications. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive as it covers the research's key objectives, methods, findings, and significance. Suggestions: (If the author presents the abstract information in a point-by-point format instead of a paragraph, it would enhance clarity and make it more reader-friendly.)	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, The references are not recent. Kindly add more recent references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Partially Yes. Suggestion: I would suggest to the author to kindly consider using Grammarly software to improve sentence structure and overall clarity.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I would like to suggest some scientific requirements for the review article below <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Including a graphical abstract would be beneficial, as it provides a visual summary of the study, making it easier for readers to quickly grasp the key concepts and findings. - If the author presents the abstract information in a point-by-point format instead of a paragraph, it would enhance clarity and make it more reader-friendly - I recommend adding a dedicated therapeutic application section. This would help readers clearly understand each disease condition and the specific beneficial applications of a particular oil. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parin Salim Sidat
Department, University & Country	Gujarat Technological University, India