

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_127415
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation Of Antibiotic Usage Patterns, Patient Factors, And Economic Implications in ICU Settings: An Observational Study.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I am interested in this research because it analyzes the costs incurred from antibiotic use in the ICU. The cost analysis results can be linked to the rationality of antibiotic use in the ICU. This study can also provide an empirical and definitive overview of antibiotic usage patterns in the ICU.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think the research method does not need to be included in the title. "Evaluation Of Antibiotic Usage Patterns, Patient Factors, And Economic Implications in ICU"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In my opinion, it would be better to include a summary of antibiotic usage and cost analysis as outlined on page 25.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, that's appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research provides insight into the patterns of antibiotic use in a particular region. The results can serve as a comparative reference for the cost of antibiotics required by patients treated in the ICU. Indirectly, these patterns of antibiotic use can serve as economic evaluation material for the management of the hospital where the study was conducted.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I think that's enough.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	I can understand the language used in the following written.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The list of antibiotics in *Table 12: Antibiotic Use and Cost Analysis* should be arranged from highest to lowest cost. This will make it easier for readers to understand the content.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rachmad Syah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Andalas University, Indonesia</b>