

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_127415
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation Of Antibiotic Usage Patterns, Patient Factors, And Economic Implications in ICU Settings: An Observational Study.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Irrational and exaggerated use of antibiotics is a major problem in clinical settings. The cost issues regarding antibiotic use specially in ICU set up is a seldom discussed topic. Therefore, this manuscript might add very important focus in the scientific community of medical background.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract does not match well with the title. The author mostly covered the economic issue rather than objective of evaluating the antibiotic usage pattern and patient factor. The abstract should be more focused and within 250 words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is time worthy and scientifically correct. This is also technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent. But the style should be similar in every reference.	
Minor REVISION comments	The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Over all the article is good. There should be minor correction of the abstract which does not match well with the title of the article. The conclusion should be more focused and shorter in length. The references style should be similar in every case.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nure Ishrat Nazme
Department, University & Country	Combined Military Hospital, Bangladesh