

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_127711
Title of the Manuscript:	Cryptorchidism: A 20-Year Journey of Patient Outcomes and Family Concerns – A Cohort Study at a Single Center
Type of the Article	CHORRT RESEARCH STUDY

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides significant insights into the long-term outcomes and management of undescended testis (UDT), a critical pediatric condition with implications for male fertility and cancer risk. By combining a 20-year retrospective analysis with an emphasis on family concerns and psychosocial impacts, it bridges the gap between clinical outcomes and patient-centered care. The study underscores the importance of early diagnosis and multidisciplinary approaches, contributing to the global understanding of optimizing UDT treatment protocols. Its findings are particularly valuable for regions with similar cultural and genetic contexts, guiding future research and healthcare practices to improve both medical and psychosocial outcomes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES- title ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive, covering the background, methodology, key findings, and conclusions effectively. However, there is room for improvement to enhance clarity and focus. Here are some suggestions: Additions: 1. Specific Data Points: Include concise details about key findings, like the percentage of successful surgical outcomes and fertility improvements with early diagnosis. 2. Broader Implications: Mention how the findings can guide future clinical practices or contribute to global guidelines for UDT management. Deletions: 1. Repetitive Details: The mention of "family concerns evolving through stages" could be condensed, as the full discussion is better suited for the body of the article. 2. Excessive Background: The detailed explanation of UDT etiology (e.g., "genetic, hormonal, and environmental factors") could be summarized in fewer words to keep the abstract concise.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Based on the details provided, the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound and well-supported by data. It presents a rigorous retrospective analysis of 470 cases of undescended testis (UDT) over a 20-year period, incorporating multiple clinical and psychosocial variables. The methods, such as fine-needle aspiration biopsy, chromosomal analysis, and statistical approaches, are appropriate for the research objectives. Furthermore, the discussion aligns the findings with existing literature, lending credibility to the study's conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Include some more references <i>Role of zinc (Zn) in human reproduction: A journey to understand the impact on male fertility and semen parameters.</i> - 10.3390/ijms22042188 Role of antisperm antibodies in infertility, particularly in pediatric cases: Mechanisms and diagnostic	

Review Form 3

	insights- 10.3390/vaccines7030116 <i>Seminal exosomes – An important biological marker for male infertility.</i> <i>Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences- 10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.03.038</i> <i>Human prostasomes as extracellular vesicles – Biological significance in male reproduction and potential markers for testicular health.- 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.09.218</i>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Sure	
Optional/General comments	While the manuscript includes family concerns, the methods for assessing and quantifying these concerns could be more clearly detailed to ensure reproducibility. While the discussion is thorough, it could benefit from greater emphasis on how the findings align or contrast with global practices. This would increase the study's impact beyond the regional setting.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vickram A S
Department, University & Country	Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, India