

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_127711
Title of the Manuscript:	Cryptorchidism: A 20-Year Journey of Patient Outcomes and Family Concerns – A Cohort Study at a Single Center
Type of the Article	Cohort study

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses an important pediatric condition and provides a thorough analysis of both clinical outcomes and family concerns. Its focus on community-specific cultural and genetic factors adds a unique perspective	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title clearly reflects the scope and focus of the study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract could be more concise, particularly in the methods section, which is overly detailed. Simplifying complex terminology for a general audience could improve accessibility.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The study design, including the retrospective cohort approach, is robust and supported by a large sample size. Data collection and analysis methods are well-detailed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference list is extensive and includes relevant and recent studies.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript employs a formal scientific tone. Certain sentences are overly complex and could be simplified for clarity.	
Optional/General comments	The study design, including the retrospective cohort approach, is robust and supported by a large sample size. Data collection and analysis methods are well-detailed. The rationale for specific statistical techniques (e.g., logistic regression, mixed-effects models) should be explained. The limitation of chromosomal analysis to a subset of patients should be acknowledged, with a suggestion for broader application in future studies. Presenting the data in a more structured format could enhance readability. For example, the correlation between age at diagnosis and spermatogenesis could be better linked to visual aids. The association between clinical factors and family concerns needs more explicit clarification. The discussion could benefit from a clearer and more concise presentation. Future research directions, such as the need for routine genetic screening, should be more specific. Repetition in some sections should be reduced for better focus. The manuscript addresses an important pediatric condition and provides a thorough analysis of both clinical outcomes and family concerns. Its focus on community-specific cultural and genetic factors adds a unique perspective	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramazan Karabulut MD
Department, University & Country	Gazi University, Turkey