

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_127794
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARISON EVALUATION RADIOGRAPH OF FEMORAL & TIBIAL TUNNEL EVENT FOLLOWING ACL GRAFT RECONSTRUCTIONS USING BIOSTABLE & BIOABSORBABLE INTERFERENCE SCREW FIXATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Study is good, these types of studies should be conducted in future, but sample size should be increased	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	"ACL" Short form should not be part of title.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Not in detail should be of at least 250	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Old references, not in vencor style	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Discussion too small, should not be compared with other studies Sample size too short so these small results can not be implemented in population	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Asif Ali Shaikh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SMBB Medical University Larkana, Pakistan</b>