

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_127251
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical analysis of cerebrospinal fluid in a tertiary hospital in South South Nigeria: a one-year perspective.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3**PART 1: Review Comments**

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Retrospective study , No effort taken to document final diagnosis, Discussion not related to the aim of study Time of CSF collection and analysis not recorded References not related to the topic of manuscript	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Interpretation of biochemical parameters of CSF analysis in tertiary care hospital in south Nigeria - A retrospective study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract ok . Add M:F ratio, % of patients in Jan to march Change to - Three main suspected diagnosis Delete in last line - stop at increased protein level.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Value are from record hence correct Research about CSF in introduction is good Study from resource poor setting	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Only relevant references to be mentioned References can be cut down	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Discussion flow not good	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ambrishkumar Dayashankar Mishra
Department, University & Country	Muhs Medical University, India