

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127133
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges that Parents of Children with Physical Disabilities Face in Providing Social Support to their Children in Inclusive Schools in Tanzania
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work will assist parents and caregivers into the problems mentally challenged learners face in schools and how these problems can be solved. Teachers will understand social challenges physically handicapped children face. The manuscript benefits policymakers to develop user friendly policies for disabled children.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Issues that caregivers of learners with physical challenges encounter in providing social support to children in inclusive schools in Tanzania.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract contains a summary of the whole paper.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Sub sections and sections are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript dwells on topical issues. Those with challenges have rights and these rights must be respected through providing them with equal opportunities. Inclusivity is a contemporary issue which needs to be tackled and some people have not fully embraced it.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References that are above two years need to be embraced. Most are more than two years old.	
Minor REVISION comments	The language has to be more scholarly and technical. Simple language was used.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	A good piece of work that will assist in Special Needs and Inclusive Education.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enock Panganayi Mawuye
Department, University & Country	University of Rwanda, Zimbabwe