

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_127074
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of a protocol for in vitro regeneration of <i>Stevia rebaudiana</i> Bertoni
Type of the Article	RESEARCH ARTICLE

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It shows the importance of different concentration of hormones used in the propagation of vegetative parts of the plants in the laboratory. It also indicates the different nutrient medium used for shoot and root growth. It also help s for understanding the response of the plants toward different concentrations of the hormones	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I have suggested that Evaluation of different concentrations of hormones (BAP, IBA and NAA) for the propagation of root and shoot <i>in vitro</i> regeneration of <i>Stevia rebaudiana</i> Bertoni	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Use the full form of Abbreviation in the manuscript for one time which enhance the accuracy of the scientific writing. Also indicate the Anova variances and p value for the propagation of the shoot and root growth . Use few new recent references which support your data	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Use few new recent references for discussion	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Over all is okay, write the full form of abbreviation in the manuscript for easy of the reader at end of tables or add list of abbreviation in the manuscript and use some recent references	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Usman Ahmad
Department, University & Country	Federal Directorate of Education, Pakistan