

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_127074
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of a protocol for in vitro regeneration of <i>Stevia rebaudiana</i> Bertoni
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a well-constructed paper that reports the findings from a sound and interesting study. Given the significance of the plant, I hope that the work will be finished to reach the acclimatization stage in order to contribute to the development of an efficient protocol for the plant's commercial production.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is adequate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is adequately addressed.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's structure and subsections are sufficiently covered, but the Methods section is inadequate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The results of a solid and fascinating study are presented in this well-written paper . One of the newest scientific methods for producing commercial plants is tissue culture. Research was started to create a high frequency, reproducible, quick, and easy regeneration process from Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni shoot tips for application in large-scale propagation. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The references sufficient and recent. Generally, I recommend a careful review of references, to correct in several places the typographical errors in references 	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Generally speaking, I prefer pictures that highlight the differences in the shape of the callus and the plants. I found the results in need of more detail, especially regarding Callus production experiment Reference (1,15,21) are not found in the text of the research. Reference 28: The name of the scholar is written as Sritonkum, but in the text of the research it is written as Sritongkum. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naglaa Abdallah Abd Elsalam
Department, University & Country	Desert Research Center, Egypt