

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_127727
Title of the Manuscript:	Research status of steel-concrete joint section of cable-stayed bridge
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper discusses the details of the joint section of the cable stayed bridges in the introduction part and some research articles on steel-concrete composite joint structure employed in cable stayed bridges. Review articles on cable stayed bridges or joint structure form of cable stayed bridges are very limited. This article can be improvised to provide sufficient information and knowledge on the joint structure form of cable stayed bridges.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. Alternative title to consider - "Overview of Research Progress on Innovative Approaches to Steel-Concrete Joint Interfaces for Enhanced Performance in Cable-Stayed Bridges"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs to be improvised. Inferences obtained from the articles' study need to be included. Direction of future research should be added.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscript is scientifically correct. But it needs figures and tables which can explain the research articles in detail. Comparison table can be made to highlight the works carried out in the research papers referred.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	A simple search in sciencedirect.com with the words "joint section of cable stayed bridges" gives us about 4200 articles. So the authors can consider adding more references and include those works in this paper. Since this is an exclusive review article at least 50 to 100 papers are expected to be included for an elaborate research status on this topic. A timeline referring to the innovation and addition of materials in the joint section structure can be given to make this article more valuable.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	Figures and tables related to this topic have to be included. Future scope of this topic is needed to be given under separate section in this article. Conclusion and Inferences derived from the articles studied should be included. The literature should be grouped under different sections for an elaborate discussion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharon Rose S
Department, University & Country	Srm Institute Of Science And Technology, India