

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_127727
Title of the Manuscript:	Research status of steel-concrete joint section of cable-stayed bridge
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The importance of this manuscript is because of: The concrete tower or pile cap has the advantages of high rigidity, low cost and low maintenance cost. Steel-concrete hybrid bridge tower combines the advantages of steel bridge tower and concrete bridge tower, and has been adopted by more and more bridge structures.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>The title is Suitable</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract is comprehensive and it covers the topic of the review</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	<b>This manuscript is scientifically correct and technically sound because its topic and other information that related to the topic are scientifically correct.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>The references are Suitable.</b>	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>The language and English quality are suitable</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Acceptable after minor revisions	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hyman Jafar Meerza Al Jaaf
Department, University & Country	Mustansiriyah University, Iraq