

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_127681
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Standard Differences on Position in Agricultural Global Value Chains
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research problem is articulated. The research questions are clear. But there is need to outline the importance of answering the research questions so that the importance of the research comes out clearly.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable. No need for changes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	All abbreviations (e.g., SPS/TBT) must be written in full for the first time so that the abstract remains a stand-alone paragraph.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There is need to add references for the introduction especially for fact the are taken from database or report e.g., the World Trade Organisation (volume of international trade in agricultural products has more than doubled, from \$680 billion in 1995 to \$1.5 trillion in 2020). The current state of the literature review is just listing possible methodologies without clearly showing the methods that are adopted for this study. There is need to develop a storyline that connects the literature review section with the introduction, instead of listing short sentences that are not connected within the section. Must use the reviewed literature to discuss the results.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is okay, but need to use past tense where necessary e.g., Calculate by author is best understood if written as Calculated by author or Author calculation or computation. Other parts may be improved as well.	
Optional/General comments	The last recommendation is specific to China, which raises the question as to what countries are used in this study? This must be clear so that recommendation are made specifically for the countries (OECD countries and China?)	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sicelo Ignatius Dlamini
Department, University & Country	University of Eswatini, Eswatini