

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127519
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) Seed On Feed Intake And Water Intake Of Konkan Kanyal goats
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is valuable for exploring fenugreek seeds' impact on feed and water intake in goats, highlighting their potential to improve dietary efficiency. The study contributes to using natural feed additives to enhance livestock productivity and supports cost-effective, sustainable feeding strategies in small ruminants.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Some minor corrections are needed in the manuscript title. The suggested correct article title is: Effect of Fenugreek (<i>Trigonella foenum-graecum</i> L.) Seed on Feed and Water Intake of Konkan Kanyal Goats	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author should add two sentences at the beginning of the abstract: one providing a brief introduction to the topic and the other clearly stating the objective of the study. Additionally, the author should include recommendations in the final sentence, highlighting practical applications or future directions based on the study's findings. The abstract should be rewritten to provide a clearer and more concise study overview, including the objective, key results, and conclusions.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The problem addressed in the manuscript is not clearly stated. The author should explicitly highlight the research gap or the specific issue that the study aims to address, providing context and justification for why this research is important.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Regarding references, they need to be updated with more recent articles; most are very old. I highly recommend more recent citations!!!!	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article should be carefully evaluated to ensure suitability for scholarly communication. While the manuscript may convey the key ideas effectively, grammar, clarity, and sentence structure improvements may be needed to enhance readability and precision. It is recommended that the author review the text or seek professional editing to align with the standards of academic writing.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How was the specific dose of fenugreek seeds determined for this study? Please provide justification, referencing previous research, preliminary trials, or traditional usage practices if applicable. • The chemical composition of the experimental diets should be included in the manuscript. • The addition percentage of Fenugreek seeds in the T4 treatment is not provided in the abstract or materials and methods sections. Please include this information for clarity and completeness. • Why is the feed amount offered to the animals different among the treatments? This variation could introduce unintended differences and potentially affect the validity of the results. Please clarify or address this issue in the manuscript. • Why did the author discuss the water intake results in relation to hydroponic feeds, even though these feeds were not included in the study? Please provide justification or consider revising this discussion to focus on the feeds used in the study. • The author needs to improve the Results and Discussion section by providing a more detailed analysis, a clearer interpretation of the data, and a stronger connection to previous studies in the field. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmoud O. A. Elfaki
Department, University & Country	University of Khartoum, Sudan